

FRONT LINE DEFENDERS GLOBAL ANALYSIS 2018



On the cover: Sited Kimoi Cherongis (Kokipchumba), Talaa Cheserut, and Rebecca (all seated) and Esther Chepkiyeng (standing, left) and Kirop Sikitom (standing, right) from the Sengwer community in the Embobut forest in Kenya protest evictions by the Kenya Forest Service (see p. 14)
photo credit: Elias Kimaiyo

Published by:
Front Line, the International Foundation for the Protection of Human Rights Defenders
Grattan House
Temple Road
Blackrock, A94 FA39
County Dublin
Ireland

Copyright © 2019 by Front Line Defenders
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution – NonCommercial ShareAlike 3.0 Licence.


Design: www.thedrawingboard.ie

This report has been produced for the benefit of human rights defenders
and may be quoted from or copied so long as the source/authors are acknowledged.

Copies of this report are available from info@frontlinedefenders.org

FRONT LINE DEFENDERS GLOBAL ANALYSIS 2018

THESE ARE THE NAMES OF THE 321 HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENDERS WHO WERE KILLED IN 2018, AS REPORTED TO FRONT LINE DEFENDERS. WE REMEMBER THEM AND TO THEM WE DEDICATE OUR WORK.¹



AT LEAST 49% OF THOSE KILLED HAD PREVIOUSLY RECEIVED A SPECIFIC DIRECT THREAT

IN AN ADDITIONAL 43% OF KILLINGS, THERE HAD BEEN GENERAL THREATS MADE TO HRDS IN THE AREA

12% OF THOSE REPORTED KILLED WERE WOMEN

77% OF THOSE KILLED WORKING ON LAND, INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS

KILLINGS IN GUATEMALA INCREASED 136% COMPARED TO 2017

ARGENTINA

Rodolfo Orellana

BRAZIL²

Valdemir Alves Resplandes dos Santos
 Marcondes Nablá
 Marcio Matos
 Marielle Franco
 Nazildo dos Santos Brito
 Paulo Sérgio Almeida Nascimento
 George de Andrade Lima Rodrigues
 Carlos Antônio dos Santos
 Leandro Altenir Ribeiro Ribas
 Evaldo Florentino
 Kátison de Souza
 Joacir Fran Alves da Mota
 Edeimar Rodrigues da Silva
 Lucas de Lima Batista
 Ismauro Fatimo dos Santos
 Juvenil Martins Rodrigues
 Haroldo Betcel
 Aluísio Sampaio dos Santos
 Raphaela Souza
 Gabriel Batista de Souza
 Carol Machado
 José Bernardo da Silva
 Rodrigo Celestino

CHILE

Alejandro Castro
 Camilo Catrillanca

COLOMBIA

Victor Manuel Morato
 Plinio Pulgarín
 María Yolanda Maturana
 Temistocles Machado
 María Magdalena Cruz Rojas

Efren Zúñiga Dorado
 Carlos Jimmy Prado Gallardo
 Orlando Nicolás Negrete
 Julio Cesar Montalvo
 Delmayro Reyes
 Nixon Mutis Sossa
 Alirio Sanchez
 Diana Luz Romero Mogajes
 Jorge Jimmy Celis
 Luis Díaz López
 Miller Díaz López
 Berver Victor Velásquez
 Harley Johanny Mogollón Becerra
 José Olmedo Obando
 Miguel Eduardo Parra Rondón
 Eleázar Tequia Bituca
 Nicomedes Payán Segura
 Antonio María Vargas Madrid
 Diana Patricia Mejía Fonseca
 Carlos Eduardo Melo Ramírez
 Sandra Yaneth Luna
 David Alexis Narváez
 Jesús Orlando Grueso Obregón
 Jhonatan Cundumí
 Deiver Quintero
 Elkin Fabián Toro
 Cristian Camilo Toro Rodas
 Flover Sapuyes Gaviria
 Juan Emilio Habran Solano
 Cristián Emilio Jaimes Triana
 Julio Cesar Urango Sánchez
 Hermisul aka Iver Larraonda Rendón
 Éider Arley Campo Hurtado
 Luis Arturo Royet Franco
 Victor Hugo Martínez Barragán
 Mary Florelia Canas Meza
 Tomás Barreto Moreno
 Juan Mena

José Aníbal Herrera
 Victor Alfonso Zabala Oviedo
 Jorge Miguel Polanco Ávila
 Israel Fajardo
 Héctor Janer Latín
 Belisario Benavidez Ordóñez
 Álvaro Bayona Pérez
 Wilson Arnulfo Quetama Hurtado
 Claudio Chávez
 James Luís Jiménez Estrada
 María del Carmen Moreno Páez
 Hugo Albeiro George Pérez
 Luis Ovier González Guazorna
 Luis Alberto Torres Montoya
 Harold Lerma Palacio
 Gilberto Espinosa Victoria
 Ramón Ascue
 Felix Castañeda
 Harry Alexander Ortiz Parra
 Miguel Daniel Bautista
 Cristian Andrés Lozano
 Luis Eduardo Domínguez Blandón
 Gabriel Muñoz Muñoz
 Amilcar Yagarí Siagama
 Juvenal Silva Manchola
 Francisco José Guerra
 Yesison Ramírez
 Holman Mamian
 Arnulfo Catimay Blanca
 Luis Carlos Cabrera
 Cristian Andrey Rodríguez Sánchez
 Héctor Santiago Anteliz
 José Abraham García
 Jamer Albeiro Idrobo Navia
 Julio César Sucerquia
 Luis Erardo Fernandez Velasco
 Iván Lázaro
 Marta Carolina Cañas Yagarí

Leidy Correa
 Otto Valenzuela
 Leonedis Aleiser Sierra Ortiz
 David Sierra Prieto
 Luis Cuarto Barrios Machado
 Margarita Estupiñán Uscategui
 Felicinda Santamaría Mosquera
 Ancizar Cifuentes Vargas
 Luis Erardo Fernandez Velásco
 José Fernando Jaramillo Oquendo
 Alexander Castellano Triana
 Luis Eduardo Dagua Conda
 Ibes Trujillo Contreras
 Horacio Triana Parra
 Libardo Moreno
 Fabián Rosales Niño
 Raúl Buitrago Perdomo
 Hernán Darío Chavarría
 José Uriel Rodríguez
 Alejandro Jacanamejoy
 Emiliano Tróchez Yonda
 Holmes Alberto Niscue
 Huver Hoyos Rengifo
 Fabiola Fajardo Ayala
 José Pineda
 James Escobar Montenegro
 Óliver Herrera Camacho
 Amparo Fabiola Rodríguez Muchavisoy
 Alirio Antonio Arenas Gómez
 Hover Alexander Orrego
 Edixon Panché Niscué
 Alipio Salazar Áviles
 Víctor Chechegamo Tocobio's
 Fredy Julian Conda Dagua
 Dioselí Noriega
 Jose Domingo Ulcue Collazos
 Javier Ancizar Fernández Rivera
 Edilberto Niño Cristancho

María Caicedo Muñoz
Héctor Ramiro García
Braulio Arturo García
Aldemar Trochez
Edwin Dagua Ipia
Alba Edilma Cuevas
José Antonio Navas

ECUADOR

Gavis Moreno

GUATEMALA

Ronal David Barillas Díaz
Domingo Esteban Pedro
Mateo Chamán Paau
José Can Xol
Luis Arturo Marroquin
Florencio Nájera Pérez
Alejandro Hernández García
Ramón Choc Sacrab
Antonio Cruz Jiménez
Laurent Ángel Castillo Cifuentes
Luis Alfredo de León Miranda
Crisanto García Ohaca
Luis Armando Maldonado Marin
Francisco Munguía
Juana Raimundo
Juana Ramírez Santiago
Daniel Ichich Chon
Alfredo Norberto Mazariegos
Nelson Abel Ramos Cordón
Domingo Nach Hernández
Juan Carlos Chavarría Cruz
David Figueroa García
Jacinto David Mendoza Toma
Ana Greisy López
Elisandro Pérez
Nery Esteban Pedro

HONDURAS

Wilmer Paredes
Ramón Fiallos
Geovanny Díaz Cárcamo
Luis Fernando Ayala
Carlos Hernández
Samuel Eduardo Martínez Lopez
Mario Enrique Suarez Gomez
Gerson Daniel Medina

MEXICO

Alejandro Antonio Díaz Cruz
Ignacio Basilio Ventura Martínez
Luis Angel Martínez
Luis Carlos Gutiérrez Castillo
Calixto Pedro Guillermo
Abraham Hernández González
Rubén Pat Caiuch
Javier Salinas García

Roberto Vega
Carlos Uriel López
Rubén Estrada
Adrián Tihuilit
Arturo Pérez Martínez
Rolando Crispín López
Romualdo Merino Ixango
Leslie Ann Pamela Montenegro del Real
Feliciano Ascencio Sierra
Cresenciano Everardo Lorenzo
Alexis Santos Castillo
Mario Vallejo García
Quintín Salgado Salgado
Santiago Israel Aguirre Arzate
Carlos Domínguez Rodríguez
Aarón Varela Martínez
Roberto Bernal Campos
Leobardo Vázquez Atzin
Janeth González López
Gustavo Sánchez López
Manuel Gaspar Rodríguez
Jesús Álvarez Chávez
Margarito Díaz Gonzalez
Noel Castillo Aguilar
Julian Carrillo Martínez
Azucani Díaz García
María Luisa Ortiz Arenas
Carlos Humberto Mendoza de los Santos
Ramón Hernández Nevárez
Anselmo Hernández Andujo
Francisco Chaparro Carrillo
Luis Pérez García
Mario Leonel Gómez Sánchez
Jesús Javier Ramos Arreola
José Nava Lorenzo
Miguel Santos Trinidad
Joaquin Dias Morales
Jesus Alvarez Chavez
Carlos Mayorga Guerrero
Baltazar Andretti Menezes

PERU

Olivia Arévalo Lomas

VENEZUELA

Reyes Orlando Parra
Pedro Vielma
Ramón Rosario
Jesús León
Guillermo Toledo

DRC

Luc Nkulula
Masumbuko Birindwa

KENYA

Evans Njoroge

TANZANIA

Godfrey Luena

SOMALIA

Abdiweli Ahmed Mohamed

SOUTH AFRICA

Sandile Biyela

CAMBODIA

Teurn Soknai
Thul Khna

INDIA

Sandeep Sharma
Poipynhun Majaw
Nanjibhai Sondarva
Shujaat Bukhari
Valmiki Yadav
Ashish Dahiya
Suresh Oraon
Jayant Kumar
Ajit Maneshwar Naik
Kedar Singh Jindan
Snowlin Vinista
P. Tamilarasan
N. Jayaraman
Gladston
Maniraj
B Sailu
Rajendra Prasad Singh
Manoj Tripathi
Amit Topno

MYANMAR

Saw O Moo

PAKISTAN

Charanjeet Singh
Safer Hussain

PHILIPPINES

Ronald Manlanat
Marcelina Dumaquit
Emelda Sangquina Allarcus
Yandong Menyo
Aniceto Lopez Jr
Linus Cubol
Esteban Empong Sr
Rommel Romon
Ricardo Pugong Mayumi
Mariam Uy Acob
Ricky Baguio Olado
Agudo Quillio
Mark Ventura
Beverly Geronimo
Jose Unahan
Lando Perdicos

James Flores
Ariel Maquiran
Garito Malibato
Nestor Sacote
Jerry Turga
Julius Barellano
Arnel Penaso
Carlito Sawad
Rolly Panebio
Gilbert Labial
Jean Labial
Jaime Delos Santos
Cesar Carreon
Victor Villafranca
Rene Laurencio
Morena Mendoza
Angelife Arsenal
Egicerio Villegas
Paterno Baron
Rannel Bantigue
Dannyboy Bautista
Benjamin Ramos
Annaliza Dinopol Gallardo Capinpin

IRAN

Kavous Seyed Emami

IRAQ

Suad al-Ali
Iqbal Muradi
Jabbar Mohammed Al-Karm

LIBYA

Musa Abdul Kareem

OCC. PALESTINIAN TERRITORY

Razan Al-Najjar

OMAN

Hassan al-Basham

SYRIA

Niraz Saied
Raed Fares
Hamoud al-Juneid

UKRAINE

Mykola Bychko

Global Overview

2018 MARKED THE 20TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE UNITED NATIONS DECLARATION ON HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENDERS³, an important milestone in recognising the legitimacy of individuals and groups who work non-violently to defend the rights of their communities. Human rights defenders (HRDs) have long struggled to achieve inclusive, equitable and sustainable societies and have made significant progress in that time. Yet, as outlined below, many of the gains made by the human rights movement over the past two decades are increasingly under attack as the trend towards populist politics predicated on exclusionary nationalism and neo-liberal or protectionist policies continues to take hold. Elections which took place during the course of the year often gave platforms to xenophobic, racist and misogynist voices and visions for the future of their countries, while in some regions they were used as excuses for full-on crackdowns against HRDs who were systematically silenced.

The international architecture of human rights institutions was also challenged in each of the regions. In June, the United States withdrew from the United Nations (UN) Human Rights Council. In September, Guatemalan President Jimmy Morales took the extraordinary and extralegal step of denying UN-mandated commissioner Iván Velásquez re-entry to the country. Mr Velásquez is the Commissioner of the UN-backed International Commission against Impunity in Guatemala (CICIG), set up in late 2006 to investigate corruption and impunity in the country. This followed the announcement by President Morales on 31 August that he would not renew the mandate of the Commission, despite specific campaign pledges in support of the Commission and its work. In October, the Minister of Foreign Affairs refused to renew the visas of 11 of CICIG's members. On 18 December, the government issued an order for those 11 members to leave the country. In March, the government of the Philippines named Victoria Tauli Corpuz, the UN Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples, on a so-called 'terrorist-list' after she spoke out about the repression of farmers, indigenous peoples and HRDs. Reprisals for cooperating with UN mechanisms continued in the MENA region where an Egyptian TV host allied with President El-Sisi called for the killing of HRD Bahey el-Din Hassan on his television broadcast following a memo sent by seven Egyptian independent human rights groups, including Hassan's, to the UN Secretary-General regarding the presidential elections in the country. Russia, meanwhile, signalled its intention to withdraw from the Council of Europe, whose *raison d'être* is the promotion of human rights, following the suspension of its voting rights in the Parliamentary Assembly after its annexation of Crimea.

These attacks on the global human rights infrastructure were buffeted by campaigns against individual HRDs and organisations at the national level by state and non-state actors. There is a well-evidenced link between defamatory attacks online and in pro-government media and an escalation to physical attacks on individuals and their families. Such smear campaigns have an added impact on women human rights defenders (WHRDs) and LGBTI+ defenders - affecting their ability to live and work safely in their communities - and on the lives of their families. In Tanzania, LGBTI+ defenders were subjected to intimidation and attacks on their homes and offices following an appalling high-level campaign demonising them. This included the Regional Commissioner of Dar es Salam calling on the public to 'report the name' of anyone they suspected of being gay.

The risk of physical attack was especially high for defenders of land, environmental and indigenous peoples' rights. According to data collected by Front Line Defenders in 2018, these HRDs were nearly three times more likely to be assaulted than defenders working in other sectors. WHRDs, especially those in rural and indigenous communities, are routinely at the forefront of these movements yet they often lack the resources, contacts and power to mitigate attacks, which frequently go unreported. Attacks are often preceded by sustained campaigns at national levels referring to defenders as 'anti-development', 'anti-state', 'traitors', 'terrorists' or 'criminals'.

As HRDs exposed corruption, documented and reported injustice and investigated corporate misconduct, they were met with well-coordinated responses by states, sometimes in collusion with corporate interests. States continued to be the most commonly reported violator of the rights of HRDs. This is evident from the frequency with which defenders are criminalised for their peaceful work; in 2018 criminalisation was once again the most reported violation, accounting for 63% of cases taken up by Front Line Defenders.⁴ Criminalisation is often preceded or followed by labelling and defamation campaigns online and via state controlled media. The fear that state authorities have of organised, rights-based mobilisation was highlighted by the fact that the most common charges faced by HRDs involved those around public order and illegal public assembly or gatherings. Governments continued to portray defenders as representing a fundamental threat to the security of their countries and HRDs continued to face national security or terrorism-related charges. These charges tend to carry extremely harsh punishments, as seen in the case of Burundian HRD Germain Rukuki who received a 32-year prison sentence in April for his work promoting rule of law and the abolition of the death penalty.

TEN MORE LAWS LIMITING HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENCE

Despite using a battery of laws already at their disposal, states persisted in introducing new legislation to further limit the ability of HRDs and civil society to act as a check on power. Such laws passed or amended in 2018 included:

- A ‘Stop Soros Package’ in **Hungary**, a collection of legislative and constitutional amendments, which criminalises humanitarian assistance to migrants.
- A Digital Security Act in **Bangladesh** carrying a ten-year sentence for online posts which ‘ruin communal harmony or create instability’; and a 14-year sentence for using digital media to ‘intimidate people and/or cause damage to the state’.
- A Cyber Law in **Vietnam** which grants sweeping authority to the state to persecute any online discourse or posted information deemed to be offensive, defamatory, or threatening to the state and to require the localised storage of all data used by companies providing online services to Vietnamese citizens.
- Retrospective legislation in Xinjiang province in **China** legalising the use of ‘re-education’ camps for the minority Uyghur population, including those who attempt to engage in any type of human rights work.
- An amendment to the Regulation on Association in **Turkey**, requiring all associations to register the personal details of their members, including name, national identification number, sex, education and occupation, with the Ministry of Interior Affairs.
- Anti-terror legislation introduced in **Nicaragua** in July, widening the definition of terrorism to include those accused of damaging property; the vague wording of the legislation has already seen dozens of protesters arrested and facing terrorism charges and carries a penalty of up to 20 years in prison. The law also penalises the “financing of terrorism”, charges which were used to shut down a number of vital human rights NGOs in December.
- The Electronic and Postal Communications (Online Content) Regulations in **Tanzania**, restricting freedom of expression and including vague and overly broad provisions, which allow for a minimum term of 12 months in prison.
- Bill 10431/2018 in **Brazil**, which is in the process of approval, providing for the freezing of assets of individuals, organisations or companies accused of terrorism, its financing or correlated acts. The broad definition of ‘terrorist acts’, as well as the possibility of freezing of assets before a final judicial decision is made, opens the door for use against social movements; NGOs have previously been investigated under a law on criminal organisations.
- A Media Regulation Law in **Egypt** placing anyone with a social media account with more than 5,000 followers under government regulation and supervision; the Regulatory Council can block these accounts if it decides that they publish or broadcast false news or incite a violation of the law.
- Vaguely defined provisions in a new Penal Code in **Oman** which restrict freedom of association and expression, outlaw the establishment of, or membership in, an organisation “aimed at combating the political, economic, social or security principles of the State” and carry a penalty of up to ten years in prison; those who join a public assembly of more than ten people which causes “a breach of security or public order” also face imprisonment.

The global epidemic of murders of HRDs continued apace in 2018, with 321 killings reported to Front Line Defenders in 27 countries⁵ - an increase of nine defenders killed from 2017. 77% of those killed were defenders working on land, indigenous peoples’ and environmental rights, an increase from 67% in 2017. Colombia and Mexico alone accounted for 54% of the total killings. What makes the muted response to these deaths all the more worrying is the lack of recognition of the role these defenders are playing in the protection of the environment. Although the majority of killings documented are in the Americas, there are indications that the number of killings of defenders of land, indigenous peoples’ and environmental rights in Africa and parts of Asia are seriously under-documented or reported.

Those who attempt to defend equitable and sustainable ways of living and rural communities who want to manage their own ecosystems, oppose the devastation and pollution of forests, lands and water on a macro scale. In many instances, local defenders, many of whom are women, face threats and reprisals from powerful vested interests, especially big corporations and state agents. In October, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change released a landmark report warning of threats to the planet before 2040 if dramatic changes are not made to limit global warming to 1.5 degrees of the pre-industrial level.⁶ The report stated that there are only 12 years in which to achieve this; otherwise hundreds of millions of people will be at increased risk of food shortages, drought, poverty and extreme temperatures. The impact of climate change disproportionately affects women, especially where gender intersects with poverty, ethnicity, race and location: e.g. the responsibility of women as primary caregiver in many communities puts them at greater risk when flooding and drought occur.

Fundamental and rapid changes to the global economy are required to contain the damage already done by climate change, yet defenders of land, indigenous peoples and environmental rights are facing more risks than ever before. This includes indigenous peoples who have lived off the land in their own territories for generations without contributing to global warming; land rights defenders who farm small holdings and who resist attempts to be forced off their land in favour of industrialised farming; and environmental activists who object to extractive industries and invasive infrastructure projects in rainforests.⁷ Heightening the risks is the lack of voice and visibility given to WHRDs in these struggles as they are crowded out of leadership positions and often do not have the right to legally own their land, meaning decisions of what to do with it are not taken by those most impacted by irresponsible development or use of it.

Defenders of land, environmental and indigenous people's rights should be central to global efforts to combat climate change, but for this to happen far greater attention needs to be paid to the threats and violence they face; they must be seen as key allies in this battle and afforded the protection of the state and the international community. Their right to say 'no' and to choose their own models of development must be respected by governments, companies and development finance institutions. The killings, intimidation, harassment and systemic exclusion they experience must no longer be met with a collective shrug and the biased narratives of those who seek to use their lands, forests and water sources for profit must no longer be met unchallenged. In this respect, the Escazu agreement (see below) is a welcome development and it is hoped that it is a framework which will be replicated around the world.

Each region of the world saw HRD-led protests around economic, social and cultural rights, as demonstrations spurred by economic hardship expressed popular dissatisfaction with rulers. In a pattern that was repeated in country after country, following large-scale and initially peaceful mobilisations of protesters, those who organised or led demonstrations were subjected to criminalisation, smear campaigns or physical attacks, while the protests sometimes turned violent after disproportionate use of force by governments. Nicaragua was the most tragic example of this where protests sparked initially by social security reforms transformed into one of the largest protest movements in the history of the country. Authorities repressed the demonstrators with brutal force resulting in at least 325 people – both protesters and security forces - killed, over 2,000 injured and more than 600 protesters, students, HRDs and activists detained. It is believed that around 40,000 Nicaraguans have fled to Costa Rica. Civil society was specifically targeted; at least nine of the most well-known human rights organisations and independent media outlets had their registrations cancelled and their premises raided and occupied by the police.

Legislative measures are commonly used to dissuade people from taking to the streets, while those who do protest face arrest. In light of this, the decision by the South African Constitutional Court in November to confirm an earlier ruling by the Cape Town High Court declaring part of the country's Gathering Act unconstitutional was particularly welcome.⁸ A clause in the Act had made it illegal for 15 or more people to protest without giving prior notice to the authorities and allowed for criminal sanction in such cases. In its ruling in February, the High Court found criminal sanction to be disproportionate to the offence and stressed the importance of the right to freedom of assembly for vulnerable and marginalised communities and that it is a right that gives 'voice to the voiceless'.⁹

WHRDs continued to be questioned and rendered invisible in their roles as community leaders, decision-makers and key actors in the human rights movement, both from within and outside the movement. Nevertheless, the long-term marginalisation of women and the enduring patriarchal structures which perpetuate these trends were challenged by the #MeToo movement, which gained ground in 2018 most notably in Asia, where it started making an impact in China and India. In China, where WHRDs were detained as recently as 2015 for promoting an anti-sexual harassment campaign, the highest legislative body was forced to include anti sexual-harassment measures in a draft of a new civil code in response to 'social concerns' pushed forward by mostly women activists in the country.¹⁰ A rush of allegations about sexual misconduct by prominent men in India in October pierced the protective bubble of celebrity and political worlds which had ensured that most accusations had previously remained unspoken or had been ignored, with the accusers often being attacked. Nonetheless, serious challenges concerning the treatment of WHRDs remain in both countries. In India regressive laws on criminal defamation are currently being used to silence and intimidate women campaigners who have spoken out on their own cases and on behalf of others. The level of social and political sanction afforded to powerful men has created an environment that is fundamentally hostile to women's voices.

WHRDs continue to be targeted for their activism in ways that men are not, or are much less likely to be. These included sexualised smear campaigns; the questioning of their roles as wives and mothers as well as their morals; sexual assault and rape, including in police stations; and the targeting of their children. In Saudi Arabia, women defenders were subjected to sexual harassment and torture after being detained for their activism on the right to drive campaign. There are additional societal risks faced by women when they step out of prescribed social roles which are often not captured in data relating to WHRDs. These risks are various and one example was the murder of Colombian defender Doris Valenzuela in April. Doris was part on an organisation working on social and environmental justice in a country where more than two women

are killed every day. As a result of her work, two of her sons had been killed by criminal gangs whose actions she had highlighted and she herself was forced to temporarily relocate six times. She had been provided with two bodyguards, a bulletproof vest and a bulletproof car by the Interior Ministry's National Protection Unit in an attempt to keep her safe, but she finally made the decision to move to the safety of Spain in late 2017, where she sought asylum on account of threats she continued to face in Colombia. In April, Doris was murdered in the town of Murcia by her husband.

Digital security attacks on HRDs were widespread and their impact had numerous knock-on effects relating to the security of HRDs and movements more broadly. Social media attacks, trolling and hacking or blocking of social media accounts occurred in all regions, but their frequency was especially noticeable in Brazil, Egypt, Guatemala, Honduras, Iraq, Mexico, Nicaragua and Venezuela. Phone and email surveillance was conducted in numerous countries, and frequently targeted higher risk groups, including LGBTI+ defenders, WHRDs and environmental activists. A report released by Citizen Lab in September uncovered the use of Pegasus, powerful spyware for mobile devices, in 45 countries.¹¹ The above threats were often paired with censorship and the blocking of websites for broadly defined reasons of national security. This occurred in Tanzania, Pakistan, Russia, Malaysia, Nicaragua, Turkey, and many countries in MENA. China continued to lead the way in its digital surveillance of citizens and in 2018 it expanded its surveillance capabilities; facial recognition software is now capable of identifying target individuals with high precision, aided by integration with the country's increasingly comprehensive database of information on Chinese citizens.

Attacks on and hate speech against LGBTI+ defenders were recorded in each of the world regions. Brazil's newly elected President, Jair Bolsonaro, singled out the LGBTI+ community during his campaign, leading to an increase in attacks and trepidation for what will follow in 2019. At the UN, the Trump Administration pushed to remove gender inclusive language from official human rights documents, replacing the word 'gender' with 'woman' in order to remove references to or implications of transgender people. Elsewhere, groups of far right activists continued to disrupt LGBTI+ pride marches and assault participants under the guise of 'defending traditional values'. In Kiev, a small transrights march was attacked in November with pepper spray and smoke bombs as police did little to stop or apprehend the attackers. In more positive news, in India the Supreme Court decriminalised homosexual sex after extensive campaigning by LGBTI+ defenders, while the Tokyo Metropolitan Government took the important step of outlawing discrimination against LGBTI+ people in advance of its hosting the 2020 Olympic Games.¹² There was a setback to LGBTI+ rights in Taiwan however, which last year had been hailed as the first country in Asia set to legalise gay marriage. Following a Constitutional Court ruling in 2017 that found the ban on same sex marriage incompatible with the constitution, voters in referendums rejected proposals to change the current definition of marriage as a union between a man and a woman.

Following a gathering of over 150 human rights defenders from around the world in October 2018 to mark the 20th anniversary of the UN Declaration of HRDs, the Paris Summit Action Plan was published, articulating a vision on how to move forward with the protection of HRDs over the coming years.¹³ In stressing the importance of adopting an intersectional approach to HRD protection, the Action Plan emphasized the responsibility of states, businesses, financial institutions, donors and intergovernmental institutions in contributing to an enabling environment for human rights defence around the world. In order to halt the slide in human rights that has taken place in each region, each of these actors will be required to play their role and it is up to the human rights movement to hold them accountable.

HRDS: AGENTS OF SOCIAL CHANGE

Despite the ever more challenging environment for human rights defence in 2018, HRDs around the world achieved significant successes, demonstrating their impact:

- Democracy was restored in the **Maldives** after a huge voter turnout swept aside an authoritarian leader who had silenced critics and locked up HRDs. While most HRDs and organizations remained independent and did not align with any political party before the elections, they played a crucial role advocating against abuses of power and process and highlighting violations including against opposition members. At least seven NGOs formed a civil society coalition to advance policy issues, make public statements and raise awareness regarding the conduct of state institutions and abuse of power by public officials.
- **Malaysia** announced in October that it would abolish the death penalty, after imposing a moratorium on executions in July. This has happened after a change in government in May and a long campaign by activists in the country.
- In **Armenia**, a peaceful “Velvet Revolution” in April brought to power a former journalist and MP Nikol Pashinyan, who introduced anti-corruption measures that are supported by local HRDs. Streets protests organised by HRDs created the opportunity to end the kleptocratic rule of Serzh Sargsyan .
- In **Ireland**, following years of recommendations by various UN bodies tasked with interpreting international law on the matter and intensive campaigning by Irish WHRDs, the public voted overwhelmingly to give reproductive rights to women.
- HRDs played a vital role in promoting The Escazu Agreement, signed by 24 states in **Latin America and the Caribbean**, which allows for a more participatory approach to environmental projects and the mitigation of conflicts. The treaty is particularly important for the protection of HRDs as it also requires signatory countries to adopt special measures to guarantee an environment free of threats and restrictions to the security of the people and organisations that promote and defend environmental rights (Article 9).
- In the **Democratic Republic of Congo** (DRC), the Coalition of Women Leaders for the Environment and Sustainable Development succeeded in their efforts to have the provincial government of Equateur pass a province-wide decree protecting women’s land and forest rights. .
- In a landmark legal case brought before the African Court of Human and People’s Rights (ACtHPR), the Mau Ogiek Indigenous People of **Kenya** won an eight-year long battle that set a precedent not only for Kenya, but for Indigenous Peoples and HRDs throughout Africa. For the first time, the ACtPHR defined the term ‘Indigenous People’ and recognised the Mau Ogiek as such. Furthermore, the Court recognised the crucial role that Indigenous Peoples have to play as managers and stewards of local environments.
- In **South Africa**, the North Gauteng High Court ruled that the South African Department of Mineral Resources could not grant a mining license to the Australian mining company Mineral Commodities Ltd (MRC) without the full, prior and informed consent of the Xolobeni community on the Wild Coast, which had fought a 15-year battle against the mine, and which had suffered killings, attacks and threats because of its activism. The court ruled in favour of the community’s right to say no.
- As a culmination of long-standing joint campaigning and advocacy by Tunisian HRDs, including ethnic minorities defenders, the **Tunisian Parliament** adopted the Organic Law on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination on 9 October, which is a ground-breaking law in the MENA region, where most legal jurisdictions do not provide for sufficient protection from racial discrimination.

HOW HRDS ARE TARGETED AROUND THE WORLD

The statistics below are derived from Front Line Defenders case work from 1 January – 18 December 2018 and based on 623 reported violations. They are not exhaustive and only represent incidents of violations reported to Front Line Defenders where the HRD wanted the organisation to carry out public advocacy on their case. They do not include violations where the HRD requested that their case not be made public or where support other than public advocacy was provided to the defender. Furthermore, incidents of killings have been removed from the figures below. Please refer to page 4 for information on the killing of HRDs.

Reported violations

Violations *excluding killings	Percentage
Detention/arrest	36%
Legal action	27%
Threats/smear campaign/verbal abuse	13%
Physical attack	10%
Questioning/interrogation	3%
Raid/break in	3%
Travel ban	2%
Disappearance	2%
Torture/ill-treatment	2%
Sexual violence	<1%

The table below shows the breakdown of violations by gender as reported to Front Line Defenders for public advocacy in 2018. They significantly under-represent cases of sexual violence.

Reported violations breakdown by gender

Reported violations by gender *excluding killings	Women HRDs	Men HRDs
Detention/Arrest	35%	35%
Legal Action	22%	29%
Threats/smear campaign/verbal abuse	15%	12%
Physical attack	8%	10%
Raid/break in	4%	3%
Travel ban	3%	2%
Questioning/interrogation	2%	3%
Disappearance	4%	1%
Dismissal	<1%	-
Sexual violence	1%	-
Torture/ill-treatment	<1%	3%
Surveillance	2%	<1%
Other	5%	3%

Of the 321 killings of HRDs reported to Front Line Defenders in 2018, 77% involved defenders working on land, environmental and indigenous peoples' rights; such defenders are nearly 3.5 times more likely to be killed than HRDs working in other sectors. They are also more likely to be targeted by physical attack and smear campaigns.

Most at risk sector

Reported violations *excluding killings	Land, indigenous peoples and environmental rights defenders	All HRDs
Physical Attack	27%	10%
Arrest/Detention	27%	36%
Threats/Intimidation/Smear Campaign/Harassment	22%	13%
Legal Action	12%	27%
Disappearance	2%	2%
Abduction	8%	<1%
Travel Ban	2%	2%

Most common reported violation; breakdown by region

Violation	Africa	Americas	Asia	MENA	ECA
Detention/arrest	38%	20%	39%	44%	33%
Legal action	29%	10%	35%	28%	26%
Threats/smear campaign/verbal abuse	11%	40%	5%	4%	2%
Physical attack	8%	15%	8%	5%	18%
Questioning/interrogation	4%	-	2%	3%	3%
Raid/break in	4%	6%	4%	<1%	-
Travel ban	<1%	-	-	11%	2%

To 18 December 2018, Front Line Defenders documented 228 criminal charges filed against 166 human rights defenders and 2 organisations. Overall, detention, arrest and/or legal action made up 63% of the violations against HRDs that Front Line Defenders reported from 1 January-18 December 2018.

For those cases where defenders were charged, the breakdown of those charges are below:

The law as a weapon

Charges	Percentage
Public order / assembly / illegal gathering	27%
Defamation / Insulting state / damaging national unity	17%
Spreading fake news / rumours / propaganda	14%
National / state security / sedition	17%
Other criminal charges	11%
Terrorism / membership or support of terrorist org.	9%
Trespassing / vandalism	3%
Tax evasion / fraud / financial	2%
Cybercrimes	1%

Africa

AS AGEING POLITICAL LEADERS AND ELITES ACROSS AFRICA ATTEMPT TO CLING TO POWER, younger HRDs are spearheading movements to call for accountability, democracy, students' rights, and educational rights; furthermore, they are taking up the mantles of older human rights movements and re-energising them with fresh ideas and approaches, facilitated by new technologies. These movements have not flourished unscathed; backlash against youth-led movements has been swift and strict in many countries including in the DRC, Chad, Congo-Brazzaville, South Africa, and Togo. In Togo, HRDs have found success in mobilising the electorate to call for constitutional reforms, including a widespread campaign for the reinstatement of a two-term limit for the presidency. At the same time, the leaders of these youth-led movements were arrested and detained arbitrarily. The emblematic case of Foly Satchivi, leader and spokesperson of the movement *En Aucun Cas* (Under No Circumstances) has been a rallying point for young HRDs in Togo; since the beginning of his short career working to better student conditions in universities, he has been a consistent target of arrests, beatings, and even an arson attack. Despite, or even because of, states' tendencies to harass youth leaders, HRDs are persevering in their work undeterred; the harassment that they and their colleagues face only serves to solidify their convictions that working to overturn antiquated governance structures and oust unresponsive elected officials will bring about the positive change they seek.

The tactics of arbitrary arrest and detention are not only reserved for leaders of youth-led movements, they are pervasive tools used across Africa to destabilise and disrupt the work of individual HRDs and broader human rights movements. In the DRC, as overdue elections took place at the end of December, HRDs were arbitrarily arrested and detained by the government throughout the country in an attempt to hinder increasingly powerful calls for democratic institutions, free and fair elections, and peace. However, in the DRC, the sheer volume of HRDs working on these issues, coupled with unparalleled energy from the electorate, means that the struggle for democracy has not slowed. This is true as well in Niger where HRDs were targeted for organising against the 2018 Finance Law that was passed in November. HRDs argue that the law will result in increased taxes on housing and electricity that will unjustly burden the poor; moreover, they argue that these taxes would augment revenues for the state and increase potential for corruption. For their work organising peaceful public demonstrations called 'Days of Citizen Action', at least 10 HRDs were arrested and detained in 2018. Several of the HRDs were detained and charged in relation to the protest and received three months' suspended sentence.

CASE STUDY

DEFENDERS OF SHACK DWELLERS RIGHTS UNDER ATTACK IN SOUTH AFRICA

Abahlali baseMjondolo is a movement of shack dwellers that is 55,000 members strong and is the biggest movement for the poor and disenfranchised in post-apartheid South Africa. According to the movement's founder, S'bu Zikode, the state has always been hostile as Abahlali baseMjondolo maintains its independence outside of state and party control. He is proud to say that Abahlali baseMjondolo marks "the first time we have organised the unorganised in South Africa". Women constitute a significant portion of the movement's base via its Women's League, as well as in the leadership. In the past year, attacks and smear campaigns against the movement have increased.

The growth of the movement has raised social and political challenges that are much broader than the issues they work on. For shack dwellers, land rights are paramount to their struggle; in South Africa, issues of land rights have a tendency to expose corruption, and Abahlali baseMjondolo's work has recently exposed high-ranking politicians for poor and illegal practices. Thus, with more members, more protests, and more visibility, the leaders of the movement have become targets of government officials, ruling party cadres and security forces alike. Since the end of 2017, three members of Abahlali baseMjondolo have been killed; in these three instances, no credible investigations have been launched to identify the perpetrators and hold the killers responsible.

In addition to the physical attacks and killings, the Mayor of Durban's rhetoric has contributed to a sense of insecurity amongst Abahlali baseMjondolo leadership. As the movement perseveres and expands, the Mayor has resorted to publicly denouncing it, including labelling it as a foreign-funded movement aiming to destabilise hard-won democracy in South Africa. An ANC Executive Committee councillor threatened S'bu Zikode individually saying, "we will deal with him," as she insisted that he was making the city of Durban "ungovernable". These attacks have increased in ferocity as the 2019 elections approach. Recognising the power of the electorate, and the number of citizens that have been mobilised by Abahlali baseMjondolo, elected officials have ratcheted up their efforts to discredit and harm the movement and its leadership in an effort to win votes.

In countries where restrictive anti-terrorism legislation has been implemented, HRDs faced judicial harassment and baseless charges. In Chad, the criminal justice system has often been used to stifle the work of HRDs. In January, a protest was organised by eight NGOs in response to the high cost of living and austerity measures which were put in place by the authorities following an economic crisis that has crippled the country since 2015. Following the protest, three leaders of local NGOs were arrested in an attempt to paralyse their organisations. The same tactics were evident in Cameroon where, since the 2014 anti-terrorism legislation came into effect, HRDs have been harassed with false charges, and drawn-out or delayed judicial procedures. These trends have worsened in the ongoing crisis in the Anglophone region of the country, which intensified following the 2018 presidential election.

HRDs working on diverse issues across the continent were targets of attempted killings and killings by security forces and unknown assailants. The murders of these HRDs were not isolated events, but were predicated by many violations of their human rights over many years, including judicial harassment, threats and physical attacks. When such violations occurred, the HRDs did not receive the necessary protection and support from state authorities. In the DRC, pro-democracy HRDs were killed in the lead-up to the December 2018 presidential election. Luc Nkulula, a 32-year-old leader of the organisation Struggle for Change (Lutte pour le Changement – LUCHA) died in suspicious circumstances when his wooden house was lit on fire in Goma, Eastern DRC, in what his colleagues believe was a deliberate arson attack. Rossy Tshimanga Mukendi, a leader of Collective Citizen Movement 2016 (Mouvement Collectif Citoyen 2016), an organisation that often worked with LUCHA, was shot and killed by police in Kinshasa when he was helping protestors enter the Saint-Benoît Catholic Church to take refuge from live ammunition that police fired into a pro-democracy protest. WHRDs in DRC also reported increasing rates of rape and violence against women. By July, in North Kivu alone, incidents of rape and violence had increased by more than 60%.¹⁴

FRONT LINE DEFENDERS REPORT FINDS CREEPING AUTHORITARIANISM IN ZAMBIA

In a report launched in October 2018¹⁵, Front Line Defenders documented how a series of incremental attacks on HRDs – intimidation, surveillance, judicial harassment, threats (including death threats), physical assaults, restricting and closing media outlets – over the last two years amount to early warning signs of shrinking civil society space by political and economic elites to maintain power. Even though Zambia has long been hailed as a stable, democratic country in an otherwise troubled region, the last couple of years has witnessed unprecedented efforts against HRDs. The report, “Creeping Authoritarianism: Impacts on Human Rights Defenders and Civil Society in Zambia,” is based on a year of research and interviews from around the country working on a variety of rights issues. The state in Zambia has been virtually captured by two symbiotic forces – the ruling Patriotic Front (PF) party and corporate interests, mainly tied to the extractive sector and fuelled by Chinese investment – that have rendered the institutions of the state that typically worked for rule of law and democratic practices as either incapacitated or working at the service of these political and economic interests.

Despite these challenging circumstances on the ground, there continued to be advances with regard to the strengthening of legal frameworks for the protection of HRDs in West Africa. In January 2018, the President of the Republic of Mali enacted a national law for the protection of HRDs, following its adoption by parliament on 13 December 2017. In doing so, Mali became the third country to strengthen its legal system protecting HRDs, following Côte d’Ivoire in June 2014 and Burkina Faso in June 2017. At this time, the law in Mali is still in limbo as the government has yet to put in place an Enforcement Decree to implement the law, in addition to a protection mechanism for HRDs. Along with Niger and Sierra Leone, in September 2018, Guinea made its first steps towards the adoption of a national law for the protection of HRDs. During a two-day-workshop authorities and civil society discussed and finalised a draft law to be adopted within the council of ministers, which will then be submitted to the Parliament. In Cote d’Ivoire and Burkina Faso, HRDs have reported that the laws have strengthened their working conditions as they are legally recognised and protected. However, in Burkina Faso, the law lacks a specific provision for the protection of WHRDs, and in Côte d’Ivoire, HRDs report that they do not enjoy complete freedom of assembly. After years of repression, a political change in Ethiopia has signalled the promise of greater civil society participation in the governance of the country and more space in which HRDs can operate.

In Kenya, after facing initial setbacks, HRDs working on indigenous peoples’ rights and environmental rights made significant progress in strengthening their legal protections of their land rights and solidifying their legal recognition as indigenous peoples. From December 2017, indigenous HRDs and community members of the Sengwer people were intensely targeted and attacked by Kenyan Forest Services (KFS) forces following a dispute over conservation practices and land ownership in the Embobut forest; during a forced eviction on 16 January 2018, Sengwer member Robert Kiprotich was shot and killed and community leader David Kipkosgei Kiptilkes was seriously injured by KFS agents. Following the violence, the

Sengwer people scored a victory when the European Union (EU) announced that it would suspend funding to the KFS for the WaTER project, a conservation project that was being implemented in Embobut Forest. The EU is working to find a way forward, with an approach that takes into consideration the human rights of the Sengwer; the project has been extended to September 2019.

HRDs in Tanzania have faced an alarming campaign to undermine human rights in the country in 2018, and these restrictions were particularly acute for LGBTI+ defenders. Homosexual acts are illegal in Tanzania, punishable by up to 30 years in prison under a colonial-era law. LGBTI+ HRDs regularly face retribution for their work, including arrests and violent attacks inside and outside of prison. In October 2018, the Dar es Salaam regional commissioner, Paul Makonda, announced the creation of a surveillance task force to identify and arrest members of the LGBTI+ community and sex workers. Despite the national government's disavowal of the commissioner's declaration, in the capital region, HRDs and their offices were targeted with increasing severity. Several attackers reportedly shouted "Makonda's people" - understood to mean gay people who deserved to be arrested - while physically assaulting victims. While police violence remains a critical risk for HRDs, along with ongoing arrests and sexual violence in detention, HRDs reported that the Commissioner's statements led to a clear increase in violent attacks from neighbours, communities, and unknown civilians with no apparent connection to the state. In Uganda in January, the offices of Human Rights Awareness and Promotion Forum were broken into by eight people who attacked two security guards with machetes, leaving them both hospitalised in a critical condition. The organisation promotes the rights of marginalised groups and works on sustainable access to justice in Uganda, specifically for sexual minorities, poor women and men, children living with HIV/AIDS and the elderly. This attack was the latest in a series of violent acts against the organisation and its staff members.

DANGERS OF LAND DEFENCE IN AFRICA

HRDs working on land rights issues in Africa face threats, attacks, and judicial harassment as their work is often seen as oppositional to 'development', 'progress', or investment. With governments and private companies grabbing land for business or agriculture, HRDs defending indigenous peoples, forests, or local communities often find themselves targets of multi-pronged campaigns to discredit and attack them.

In 2018 Godfrey Luena was murdered by unidentified assailants outside his home in rural Tanzania. Godfrey had been targeted throughout his life with arrests, intimidation, and judicial harassment because of his work monitoring illegal land appropriations in his community. In Cameroon, Musa Usman Ndamba has faced an outrageous legal case that was adjourned for the 60th time in 2018; he was sentenced and fined for "defamation of character" against a wealthy landowner. This sentence was linked to Musa Usman Ndamba's work defending the indigenous Mbororo people's land rights. Broadly, these two cases represent the increasing infringement of indigenous peoples' and local communities land rights throughout Africa – from urban settings in South Africa's major cities to rural forests in Kenya.

Despite the efforts to undermine these HRDs, in 2018 there were gains for HRDs working on land rights - gains that have the potential to be scaled-up and used as models for other communities and countries across the continent. In the DRC, WHRDs won a hard-fought victory when the provincial government of Equateur adopted the first DRC provincial decree protecting women's land and forest rights. This was a victory for Congolese WHRDs who have been working to strengthen women's legal rights around land ownership and inheritance. With the advent of this decree, women will now be able to own land in Equateur province, and it will serve as a model for other provinces in the DRC. Furthermore, this provincial decree has the potential to validate and protect HRDs defending land rights in the DRC as they are often pitted against large companies and powerful elected officials in their efforts to protect local communities and indigenous peoples' rights to land and forests.

Americas

A NUMBER OF IMPORTANT PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS TOOK PLACE IN THE AMERICAS IN 2018 - in Brazil, Colombia, Mexico and Venezuela - which signal changes in political direction that will likely have an impact for HRDs beyond the countries in which the elections took place. In 2019, elections will take place in El Salvador, Guatemala, Bolivia, Argentina and Uruguay.

The year was also marked by massive social mobilisations in response to institutional and rights crises in Nicaragua, Venezuela, Guatemala, Chile, Argentina and Brazil. Student movements were a powerful force in the region and as a result were particularly targeted in Chile, Colombia and Nicaragua. While most of the methods used to silence, discredit and intimidate HRDs are not new, they have become more mainstream in recent years and have expanded to more countries. Criticism and stigmatisation of defenders for their work has become acceptable and widespread in larger segments of society and attacks have extended to those who assist or support HRDs without actively participating in their activities. This has included reprisals against doctors who have treated protesters or teachers who support student mobilisations. In Nicaragua, over one hundred doctors and nurses were fired from the public sector for treating injured protesters.

States in the region continued to reject criticism from their citizens, and, in this setting, whoever stood up for human rights was more likely to be seen as an “enemy of the state” - with the human rights agenda increasingly being presented as in opposition to the rights of other segments of the population. One of the ways to deny and reject the agenda of these movements was through undermining the rights that are defended by them. This was particularly true for defenders of sexual and reproductive rights and LGBTI+ rights and one of the favoured methods was through the circulation of damaging false information – such as narratives linking feminism with paedophilia and/or with hatred of men. On 23 November, Nicaragua’s Vice President Rosario Murillo gave a speech in which she portrayed feminist women as responsible for the crimes committed in Nicaragua during the deadly clashes. She demanded punishment for their actions of “selfishness, vanity, and self-serving blindness”. Legislative initiatives, senior politicians’ speeches and state-sponsored and private media were some of the platforms used to communicate messages of hate and intolerance towards dissenting voices. WhatsApp groups have become an especially powerful tool to disseminate hate messages and spread false information. These diatribes contributed to the normalisation of attacks against HRDs and anyone who promotes rights, especially for minorities or marginalised groups.

The increased number of death threats and threats of incarceration have forced a number of defenders to flee their homes and communities, with Nicaragua, Colombia and Brazil being particularly representative of this trend. The killing of human rights defenders remained the most concerning trend in the region. Front Line Defenders received reports of killings in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Peru, and Venezuela. In many countries in the region, the number of killings not only continued to climb but a worrying pattern was also notable where several defenders from the same organisations were targeted, including in Colombia and Guatemala. In Guatemala 26 HRDs were killed in 2018, a 136% increase in the number killed in 2017. Between 9 May and 4 June, seven indigenous HRDs were killed, five of whom were members of CODECA, an organisation working on the rights of people in rural areas. A few days before the first murder, on 2 May, Guatemalan president Jimmy Morales had referred to CODECA as a criminal organisation during a public rally. Colombia has seen an increase in violence against HRDs since the signing of the Peace Agreement with the FARC-EP. Two years on, the State has failed to implement most of its obligations, such as establishing an integrated presence in the rural regions and implementing land restitution and crop substitution programs. This has led to a number of illegal armed groups fighting for control of the areas previously occupied by FARC-EP and the strengthening of illicit economies in the area. On 29 November, campesino leader Jose Antonio Navas was killed in Catatumbo. He was the fifth member of ASCAMCAT (Campesino Association of Catatumbo) to be killed in 2018 amidst a campaign labelling members as active guerrillas.

The conflict between the exploitation of natural resources, including the capture of long-held indigenous or Afro-descendant land for private profit, and the efforts of defenders of land, environmental or indigenous peoples’ rights to protect the environment and their communities - and to guarantee that legally-mandated consultations are implemented - resulted in systematic attempts to silence HRDs by both government and business. HRDs working to defend the rights of their communities are already working in the most dangerous sector of human rights defence. Incidents of threats, attacks and intimidation were reported to Front Line Defenders in Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, El Salvador, Ecuador, Guatemala, Mexico, Peru and Venezuela. The filing of baseless lawsuits is a particularly common tactic and proceedings can hang over HRDs and their supporters for years. In Peru, indigenous HRD Cesar Estrada has been facing an unfair judicial process for five years which has forced him into hiding on a number of occasions. This has impacted on his ability to receive public medical treatment (necessary as a result of previous physical attacks against him motivated by his human rights work), secure a permanent job or support and spend time with his wife and newborn child. It has also cost the defender, his family and supporters large sums of money spent on the judicial process. Particularly worrying is the increase in the number of cases

where defenders have been held incommunicado or in conditions below the minimum standards for the treatment of prisoners, such as the case of 24 year-old WHRD and lawyer Geraldine Chacon in Venezuela. She was held incommunicado for at least three months after the government accused her of links with groups organising violent protests.

The backsliding of the human rights agenda sparked protests and social mobilisations in the region, which were often met with excessive use of force by state security forces and frequently accompanied by the criminalisation of organisers. This had tragic consequences in Nicaragua, while in Chile, protests ranging from social security reforms to Mapuche peoples' rights were met with police violence. In Colombia, around 400 people from communities affected by Hidroituango hydroelectric plant were blocked by police from demonstrating against the negative impacts of the dam. Also in September, Costa Rican security forces entered the campus of the University of Costa Rica and assaulted students who had participated in a protest demanding tax reform; four students were arrested and one journalist was injured. Numerous student HRDs in the United States reported being threatened, intimidated and punished for exercising their freedom of expression, assembly and association during a National Student Walkout against gun violence on 14 March.

In the United States, the nexus between the easy access to weapons, inflammatory political rhetoric and rapidly spread lies via social media and media outlets committed to promoting opinion rather than factual reporting has led to a preponderance of mass shooting and other violence, including acts committed by those with racist or neo-fascist agendas. An attack on a synagogue in Pittsburgh was apparently carried out by an anti-immigrant gunman who was influenced by a social media-spread rumour, while white nationalists committed violence against anti-hate activists in numerous locations around the country.

While its expansion of the definition of terrorism will make it even easier for Nicaraguan authorities to target HRDs, the government in December cancelled the legal registration of nine NGOs; CINCO, CENIDH, HADEMOS, PoPol Nah, IEEPP, IPADE, CISAS, Fundacion del Rio and Instituto de Liderazgo Las Segovias. All of these were human rights groups that had been active for decades in the promotion of human rights of all Nicaraguans. Meanwhile, the Mexican Congress is in the process of approving a constitutional reform to extend the use of automatic preventative prison – an instrument that has been particularly abused in judicial cases against HRDs.

Brazil represents the region's clearest example of regression on human rights manifested by a security-focused public agenda and hate speech towards HRDs. Major achievements in all realms of human rights in the last twenty years risk being undone by a right-wing, conservative politician. Despite strong opposition by civil society, in February the federal government decreed military intervention in public security in the state of Rio de Janeiro. Less than a month later, WHRD

CASE STUDY

CRIMINALISATION OF INDIGENOUS WHRD DOMINGA GONZALEZ IN MEXICO

Dominga Gónzález Martínez is a 61-year-old indigenous WHRD who worked for many years for the right to water in her community, Tlanixco, before she was arbitrarily detained and incarcerated in 2007. After 10 years under preventative detention, on 27 November 2017 she was sentenced to 50 years in prison, along with five HRDs from her community: Rómulo Arias Mireles, Teófilo Pérez González, Pedro Sánchez Berriozaba, Lorenzo Sánchez Berriozabal and Marco Antonio Pérez González. As with many HRDs who remain in prison as a reprisal for their work defending land, water and indigenous peoples' rights, Dominga had to wait a decade for a local judge to make a ruling in her case. Dominga's case highlights the structural racism in the judicial system.

Organised in local committees¹⁶, the six defenders had campaigned against the privatisation of the water supply coming from a local river. Since 1980, when the authorities gave the concession for the river to the municipality of Villa Guerrero, there have been water shortages in the area due to the diversion of water by commercial flower growers. Dominga González and her five co-defendants were accused of the killing of a member of the neighbouring Villa Guerrero, an accusation they all vehemently deny. From the beginning, the investigation was riddled with inconsistencies. The trial was marred by a reliance on the evidence of "witnesses" who were not present at the time of the incident, and the acceptance of evidence that was both contradictory and implausible.

The criminalisation of HRDs and the subsequent violation of their right to due process has been a common strategy of the past Mexican governments to dissuade and punish HRDs working at the community level.¹⁷ In many cases, they are accused of taking part in killings and kidnappings. The 2018 change in government offers Mexico an opportunity to tackle the systematic discrimination facing many defenders in the country and to set about releasing the scores of HRDs languishing behind bars for their peaceful activities as soon as possible.

and city council member Marielle Franco was murdered. A black woman councillor, a lesbian and a mother, Marielle Franco had tirelessly denounced police brutality affecting the black population of Brazil. She also strongly opposed the authorisation of military intervention in Rio. Her killing – the investigation into which has yet to yield a result – shocked civil society and provided the latest and most public example of the extent to which HRDs are silenced in the country.

The elections in Brazil highlighted the country's deep and dangerous polarisation and confirmed a conservative trend in the region that poses a threat to the human rights agenda and freedom of expression. The elections also showed the emerging influence that evangelicals have in national politics in the region, exerting increasing pressure on political debates around issues of education, family, gender and sexuality – amongst others. An open supporter of the past military dictatorship and with political rhetoric marked by misinformation and an authoritarian style, President-elect Jair Bolsonaro expressed his intention to lay waste to the human rights protections of minorities, while also threatening to “end activism in Brazil”.¹⁸ He promised to further legitimise aggressions that already affect indigenous people, *quilombolas* (Afro-Brazilians), women, rural workers, and the LGBTI+ community, among others. Backed by big land owners, Bolsonaro also stated his intention to increase deforestation of the Amazon, a vital resource in the struggle to mitigate climate change - all four proposals put forward by the IPCC report in October to limit climate increase to 1.5 degrees state that reforestation is essential. With further deforestation of the Amazon, there is a high risk of more violence against defenders of environmental, land and indigenous peoples' rights as they resist these attempts.

Unlike its neighbours in the United States and Brazil, Mexico presents a window of opportunity for the human rights agenda in the region, given the election of a president who has promised progress in transitional justice and gender equality policies. However, HRDs have also expressed concern regarding announcements made relating to the use of the military in public life. Despite the November 2018 Supreme Court ruling that repealed the Internal Security Law approving a policing role for the military, comments made by the newly elected government hint that military forces will remain engaged in public security functions, a strategy that has proved inefficient and prejudicial.

Despite the existence of protection programs or mechanisms in many countries in the region, they have not been successful in changing the environment in which HRDs operate, nor in protecting most at-risk HRDs. Impunity remains the rule not only in relation to killings, but also in regard to death threats and other attacks, which generally lack proper investigation. In the cases where investigations do take place, they tend to be focused only on who carried out the act, while masterminds of the attacks are rarely formally accused. On 30 November, the Honduran National Criminal Court convicted seven men of the murder of WHRD Berta Cáceres. The Court found that the men had been hired by executives within DESA, a company constructing a dam in indigenous territories, to carry out her killing on 3 March 2016. The criminal process against the defendants was marked by irregularities, including the Court's decision to oust Berta's family's lawyers from the case – leaving the representation of the victim and her family to the Public Prosecutor's Office, which had previously received complaints from the defender's family for overlooking their rights. Despite the Court's verdict, there are real concerns that not all of those involved in planning the murder will be held accountable.

WOMEN LEAD THE FIGHT BACK IN THE AMERICAS

From the defence of the territory in Ecuador to the promotion of sexual and reproductive rights in El Salvador, throughout the region women are not only leading important processes in defence of human rights, but have proved to be a powerful force in mobilising populations to articulate political and social demands. While there has been a general regression in the human rights agenda and a consolidation of attacks and hate speech coming from the highest levels of government and society, the women's movement has grown in power and in effectiveness across the continent. WHRDs are at the forefront of protests in Nicaragua and calling for political changes in the country. Female students in Chile are driving forward a new wave of feminism, kickstarted after allegations surfaced of sexual misconduct and abuse by academics towards students. In a matter of weeks, faculties from 15 universities were paralysed or taken over by female students who demanded a non-sexist education.

In Argentina, the green scarves of the National Campaign for the Right to Legal, Safe and Free Abortion became a symbol of change when the movement pushed sexual and reproductive rights of women onto the national agenda. In Brazil, #EleNao (#NotHim) protests during the election period were one of the largest mobilisations of women in the history of the country, and managed to bring together most of the groups that were against the anti-rights agenda represented by Bolsonaro.

These and other women have been an example and inspiration for the region, as well as representing an important focus of resistance in such a growing restrictive environment. They have made it clear that protecting women defenders is imperative for the protection of human rights in the region.

Asia

AHEAD OF NATIONAL ELECTIONS THAT TOOK PLACE IN 2018, many governments in the region carried out or intensified crackdowns to silence and intimidate HRDs critical of government policies and wrongdoings. While this was part of a strategy to win elections, it is becoming apparent that rulers in the region are no longer satisfied to simply win a majority of the vote, but are increasingly seeking unchecked power, allowing for limited, if any, opposition either within or outside the parliament, and are targeting civil society.

Elections were held in Cambodia, Malaysia, South Korea, Mongolia, Maldives, Pakistan and Bangladesh and in 2019 will take place in India, Thailand, Indonesia and the Philippines. In Thailand, the military government continued to postpone the election date throughout 2018 and prosecuted scores of HRDs for demanding that an election be held.

In Cambodia, before the July 2018 general election, an unprecedented crackdown on dissent took place that dramatically diminished the space for HRDs; defenders Pa Nguon Teang, Venerable But Buntenh and Moeun Tola were slapped with fabricated charges while Mother Nature Cambodia activists Hun Vannak and Doem Kundy, and former Radio Free Asia (RFA) journalists Yeang Sothearin and Uon Chhin were all arrested. These incidents all occurred in the first half of 2018 with the specific aim of intimidating government critics. After ensuring a landslide win, the government took a softer approach in an attempt to lessen international criticism, releasing well-known WHRD Tep Vanny in August and dropping the charges against Moeun Tola in July, confirming that the actions taken against them were politically motivated.

Pre-election crackdowns also took place in Bangladesh and Maldives. In the latter, HRDs played a vital role in highlighting human rights violations of the then-government and in doing so, contributed to the return of democratic rule in the country. For their efforts, HRDs faced threats, surveillance and violent reprisals, the effects of which continued to be felt after the election. Thus far, there has been no accountability for past crimes, including killings and enforced disappearances of HRDs, nor the curtailment of powers of the Ministry of Islamic Affairs, which has used its authority to suppress defenders, especially WHRDs.

In Sri Lanka, the actions of President Sirisena in dismissing the sitting prime minister, appointing former President Rajapaksa in his stead, and attempting to dissolve parliament resulted in political instability. Although the crisis appears to have ended with the reinstatement of Prime Minister Wickremesinghe following three key decisions of the country's highest court, the uncertainty of the two month political crisis took a serious toll on HRDs in the country. Increased military presence, blatant attempts to block military accountability in emblematic cases, defamatory campaigns against NGOs, lawyers and human rights defenders as anti-state and western agents and clear racially polarizing rhetoric spread for political reasons left many activists, especially in the former war-affected areas in the northern and eastern provinces, fearing further restrictions and a return to an era of increased surveillance, impunity and dangerous nationalist propaganda. Arrests under the regressive Prevention of Terrorism Act resumed during the 50-day period of uncertainty and surveillance and disruptions to public meetings organised by human rights groups began to take place less than a month into the crisis. Women, both in the north and east, have continued to push for truth and reconciliation for ex-combatants, forced disappearances and survivors of torture and sexual violence, though the Sri Lankan government has shown no sign of progress. WHRDs have also been at the forefront of campaigns on economic justice and movements for equality, including through constitutional reform and amendments to personal laws.

Judicial harassment and arbitrary arrests of HRDs continued to be the most frequently used tactics to silence HRDs in the region. In the Philippines, between October and November, scores of HRDs were arrested after the military released a list of institutions supposedly linked to a plot to oust President Duterte in early October, in what were clearly trumped-up charges. The environment has been especially challenging for women defenders in the country. President Duterte has been openly misogynistic and sexist, inciting violence against women. In a speech to former rebels, Duterte, talking about women activists, said: "We will not kill you...We will just shoot you in the vagina."¹⁹ In Myanmar, human rights journalists Wa Lone and Kyaw Soe Oo were arrested in December 2017 while they were investigating the 2 September 2017 massacre of 10 Rohingya men in Rakhine. Their report was published by Reuters in February 2018 and the journalists were subsequently given a seven-year prison sentence for breaching the Official Secrets Act in September 2018.

Chinese authorities continued to subject HRDs to extreme violations of judicial procedure. Human rights reporters Huang Qi, Liu Feiyue, and Qin Yongmin; prisoner rights advocates Li Xiaoling and Zhen "Guests" Jianghua, and others remain in various stages of prolonged detention. All of them have had their access to legal counsel severely restricted and face opaque and delayed judicial proceedings. Huang Qi and Li Xiaoling reported abuse and mistreatment in detention. Both China and Vietnam continue to hand down extremely lengthy prison sentences for peaceful activism, especially for those

promoting democratic change; in July 2018 Qin Yongmin was sentenced to 13 years' imprisonment for "subversion of state power" in China; in the same month, Le Dinh Luong received a 20-year sentence in Vietnam for "carrying out activities aimed at overthrowing the people's administration".

CASE STUDY

POLICE IN INDIA BRAND HRDS AS 'URBAN NAXALS' TO JUSTIFY THEIR ARRESTS

In June and August 2018, Indian police arrested 10 prominent HRDs under the regressive Unlawful Activities Prevention Act (UAPA) in a series of coordinated raids and arrests across the country. Several other activists had their offices and homes raided and documents, computers and equipment confiscated in simultaneous raids. On 6 June, police arrested HRDs Sudhir Dhawale, Rona Wilson, Mahesh Raut, Prof. Shoma Sen, and advocate Surendra Gadling, all of whom remained in jail at year end. On 28 August, police carried out raids during which they arrested five more defenders: lawyers Sudha Bhardwaj and Arun Ferreira, academic and writer Vernon Gonsalves, poet Varavara Rao and journalist Gautam Navlakha. Apart from Gautam Navlakha, whose arrest order was quashed by the Delhi High Court, all others continue to be detained under police interrogation.

The police have branded the HRDs as 'urban Naxals' and falsely claim that those arrested were involved in inciting the violence that broke out on 1 January during the commemoration of the 200th anniversary of the battle of Bhima Koregan. Authorities have sought to draw a false connection between the HRDs' work, especially on the rights of the Dalit and Adivasi communities, and Maoist rebels. The police, through their media statements and false documents, have also attempted to implicate other Dalit and Adivasi rights defenders and human rights lawyers in working with the Maoists or inciting violence.

The raids and arrests were widely condemned as unlawful, baseless and as a clear attempt to silence the HRDs. In a system where the process is also punishment, the arbitrary detention and judicial persecution of HRDs without bail is a clear violation of their rights and a deliberate attempt to suppress their peaceful activism. Bail applications have stalled before courts due to the police failure to file a charge sheet within the stipulated time. The conduct of the police has created an environment that is hostile to the work of HRDs, especially those implicated in this case, and has severely compromised their security and safety in India.

The targeting of high-profile HRDs was a noticeable pattern in the region – a tactic intended to intimidate others from engaging in human rights activism. This was particularly true in the Philippines where, in addition to the smearing of the UN Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples as a terrorist, scores of other HRDs were similarly smeared as members of the Communist Party and the New People's Army (NPA), both of which the government considers as terrorist organisations. This type of labelling is especially dangerous in the Philippines where the killing of activists alleged to be involved with the NPA has increased under President Duterte and is generally met with impunity. In Bangladesh, human rights organisation Odhakar was hit with a sustained fake news campaign after the Election Commission of Bangladesh abruptly cancelled its registration as an election observer for the 2018 elections.

The routine and almost casual use of physical violence against defenders to punish them for their work was a pattern in China and Vietnam. It was carried out by uniformed police officials, plain-clothes officers and hired thugs. In May, Chinese human rights lawyer Xie Yanyi was physically assaulted by police while entering the premises of the Beijing Lawyers' Association to attend a review on whether his legal license would be revoked for his human rights work. On exiting the building after the review, he was detained for a number of hours. In August, WHRD Pham Doan Trang was badly beaten during interrogation at a Vietnamese police station for her work promoting citizen participation. After a medical examiner confirmed the need for her to be hospitalised, she was beaten again by police officers on her way to hospital.

Restrictive legislation continued to be one of the major concerns for HRDs in Asia. Along with currently existing restrictive laws on assembly and association, new laws were introduced or amended to further limit freedom of expression online. Cambodia's Criminal Code was amended to add a new offence, entitled "Insulting the King" (*lèse-majesté*) in February. As highlighted in the opening pages of this report, Bangladesh and Vietnam also passed legislation in relation to online content which raises serious concerns for HRDs. Similarly in Thailand, a new Cyber Security Bill is in the process of enactment which would allow for the seizure of computers and hard drives on grounds of "reasonable suspicion" and "emergency", without first requiring a court order. In Malaysia, where the Sedition Act is widely used to criminalise defenders, the Federal Court quashed a 2016 Court of Appeals ruling in relation to the Act, reaffirming that it only needs to be proven that the accused has made a seditious statement, without the need to prove intention for the individual to be charged under the Act. In May however, a new government was brought to power which has promised sweeping reforms, and since then, several HRDs who had faced prosecution under the Sedition Act and the Peaceful Assembly Act for expressing themselves

or participating in peaceful protests were acquitted by the courts or have had their charges dropped. Although the new government declared a moratorium on use of the Act in October, it announced that the moratorium was being lifted in early December. The government has also promised to abolish the death penalty and stop all pending executions, ratify international human rights treaties and repeal or revise a raft of legislation that had been used by the previous government to restrict the work of HRDs.

Online harassment and cyber-bullying of WHRDs and LGBTI+ defenders is widespread in nearly every country in the region. LGBTI+ HRDs were routinely persecuted in Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan and Bangladesh. A number of incidents of violence against LGBTI+ defenders took place in Indonesia, including an episode in Aceh in January where police conducted a raid on beauty salons and detained 12 transgender HRDs and forced them to take their tops off and have their hair cut in public. This, coupled with political rhetoric inciting intolerance ahead of the 2019 general elections, created significant fear in the LGBTI+ community in the country. In Pakistan, despite the passing of the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, which allows individuals to self-identify their own gender, transgender rights defenders continued to suffer a series of attacks by organised criminal gangs. In January, trans rights defender Shama was raped by nine men, while in the same month, fellow trans rights defender Sonia was shot and injured in Peshawar. Both had been under pressure to stop their activism. In November a trans rights activist in the same region was raped by 10 men linked to organised crime. Her ordeal was videoed and shared online. Another trans rights activist who gave her refuge, was also raped and videos of the attack were again shared. A third defender who supported the victims received death threats. Despite prompt police action to arrest several of those responsible, HRDs live in fear of further attacks and reprisals.

Defenders of the rights of ethnic minorities and marginalised populations faced challenges across the region, but nowhere more severely than in Xinjiang province in China where the scale of the government's expansive persecution campaign of the Uyghur population was revealed. Reports in late 2018 by reliable organisations and media outlets estimated that over one million Uyghurs are currently detained in re-education centres across the province, where "residents" are held against their will with no access to family or legal services. While the use of these centres has been quietly growing for years, in October 2018 a new provincial law was issued institutionalising the use of "vocational training centres" to "educate and transform" persons "influenced by extremism".²⁰ Due to the degree of government surveillance and control in the region, there is almost no room for any local advocacy on behalf of Uyghur victims of such policies.

DEFENDERS FIGHTING THE BATTLE FOR LAND AND HEALTH IN ASIA

Defenders working on land and environmental issues across Asia continued to be one of the most at-risk groups of HRDs and targeted by multiple actors, including states, companies, local vested interest groups and paid thugs. These HRDs have been killed, evicted, hit with trumped-up charges and intimidated and harassed in different ways. Although there were fewer HRDs killed in 2018 compared with the previous year in the Philippines, the country remains an extremely dangerous working environment for defenders of land, indigenous peoples and environmental rights. Most of these killings were linked to struggles against mining and other extractive industries. Impunity reigns in the majority of cases of attacks and they are frequently justified by retrospective branding of the HRDs as drug addicts or terrorists. While President Duterte speaks about environmental protection and claims to have a strong stance in opposition to open pit mining, his economic agenda aims to attract an increased number of local and foreign investors. Of the 27 mining sites that were ordered to close in 2016 for violating the country's environmental laws, 23 were cleared by the Department of Environment and Natural Resources to reopen in August, exemplifying the government's softening attitude towards mining and extractive industries.

In Cambodia, although Tep Vanny and three other land rights HRDs were released in October in acts of post-election clemency, environmental HRDs protesting illegal eviction and corruption in the context of development projects continue to be at high risk. Staff members of the environmental rights organisation Mother Nature, Hun Vannak and Dem Kundy, who filmed suspected illegal sand export activity, were each sentenced to one-year imprisonment for 'violation of privacy and incitement to commit a felony' in January after the organisation was dissolved at the end of 2017.

The establishment of Special Economic Zones (SEZs) in the region aiming to attract foreign investment and protected by special laws is contributing to the difficulties faced by land and environmental rights defenders. In most cases, communities living on lands where SEZs are established are being forcibly evicted without due consultation and without fair compensation. In Vietnam, large protests took place in June against a draft law on SEZs, which would allow foreign investors to lease land for 99 years, while the maximum period under the current Land Law is 70 years. Following the protests, the enactment of the law was postponed, but scores of activists were arrested for organising and promoting the protests. In Cambodia and Bangladesh, HRDs criticising SEZs also face harassment, intimidation and claims that they are acting against the financial interests of the country.

Europe and Central Asia

CORE VALUES RELATING TO HUMAN RIGHTS NORMS AND THE ROLE OF CIVIL SOCIETY as a legitimate and valuable social actor continued to be challenged by several states in Europe and Central Asia. In addition to Russia threatening to withdraw from the Council of Europe, Turkey attempted to disrupt proceedings at the largest regional human rights event, the OSCE's Human Dimension Implementation Meeting, by insisting that certain NGOs not be invited. The demands were not met and Turkey did not attend. In November, 16 OSCE countries invoked the so-called Moscow mechanism, establishing a mission of experts to investigate allegations of human rights abuses committed in Chechnya, after Russia failed to provide a substantive response to a request for information submitted under an OSCE mechanism. In Poland, following the entry into effect of a constitutional reform in July which jeopardises the independence of the Polish judiciary, the European Commission opened an infringement procedure against Poland, the main tool to force EU states to abide by EU law. Depending on the Polish government's response, the European Commission may decide to refer the case to the European Court of Justice. In September, in an unprecedented vote against a member state, the European Parliament voted to pursue disciplinary action against Hungary after its government was accused of attacks on minority rights, freedom of expression and the rule of law. The ultimate sanction, the suspension of voting rights, is nevertheless unlikely, as Poland has already stated that it would veto such a decision.

The systematic and relentless push to equate NGOs with 'foreign interference' continued to gain traction in public discourse, led by pro-government media and backed by online trolls. HRDs were routinely presented as "grant eaters" and anti-foreign funding legislation, already in place in a number of states, was introduced in other countries. Following the adoption of a package of legislative and constitutional amendments in Hungary, labelled the 'Stop Soros' law in reference to the Hungarian-born philanthropist George Soros, a pro-government magazine published a list of "200 Soros mercenaries", which included the entire staff of several local NGOs. The European Commission launched an infringement procedure against Hungary following the introduction of this legislation. In May, the Soros-founded Open Society Foundation moved from Hungary to Germany after it was accused of being behind the wave of refugee arrivals in Europe. Central European University, funded by Soros and located in Budapest since its founding in 1991, also announced its intention to move to Vienna in 2019. In Ukraine a draft law aimed at restricting access of local NGOs to foreign funding and presented by its author as "anti-Soros legislation" is currently pending. During a hearing at the Italian Senate in June 2018, Matteo Salvini, Minister of Interior, made references alleging George Soros was financing civil society in order to "disrupt the Italian national interest" and aid illegal immigration. The Minister also pointed to future legislation to "increase transparency" around foreign funding. In November, Open Society Foundation – Turkey was forced to close "due to intensified false allegations and disproportionate speculation in the media".²¹

Physical attacks on HRDs were commonplace and were documented in Armenia, Azerbaijan, France, Greece, Italy, Russia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Turkmenistan, Turkey, Ukraine and Uzbekistan. In Ukraine, over 50 physical attacks on HRDs and civil society activists were recorded. Many of these attacks were carried out by radical right-wing groups promoting hatred and discrimination. Hiding behind a veneer of patriotism and what they describe as "traditional values", members of these groups have been vocal about their contempt for, and intent to harm, women's rights activists, ethnic minorities, LGBTI+ people, and others who hold views that differ from their own. The lack of effective investigations into these incidents and of prosecutions of those responsible heightened the risk to HRDs and sent a message that the authorities would tolerate such assaults.

Defamation campaigns against NGOs and individual defenders were carried out both by state-owned media and trolls on social media in Azerbaijan, Belarus, Hungary, Italy, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Poland, Russia, Tajikistan, Turkey and Ukraine. In Belarus, fake social media accounts were created in February under the name of Pavel Levinov, a board member of the Belarusian Helsinki Committee, to discredit his work. His personal address and other personal data were revealed in an extensive smear campaign on social media which is believed to have been initiated by the Belarusian authorities. There has also been a rise of vigilante groups and individuals in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan posting videos of lesbian and trans women online inciting hatred against them.

In April the Russian Department of the Federal Service for the Supervision of Communications, Information Technology and Mass Communications (Roskomnadzor) began attempting to censor the messenger app Telegram for Russian users. The app is popular amongst HRDs and political dissidents and its makers had refused to provide the Russian government with decryption keys. Roskomnadzor censored up to 20 million IP addresses as part of its efforts but failed to block Telegram completely. Throughout the year, Roskomnadzor continued to test improved censorship methods on a regional level. In December, a member of the Russian parliament suggested new online censorship legislation which, if passed,

would require all internet service providers and mobile operators to use deep packet inspection technology to implement better censorship.

In Turkey, the crackdown on HRDs and civil society organisations continued, although the state of emergency was officially lifted in July. Since the violent coup attempt in 2016, the Turkish government has issued over 30 executive decrees that bypassed parliamentary and judicial control. The independence and impartiality of Turkish courts has been significantly undermined, and the dismissal of hundreds of judges under state of emergency decrees further enhanced those concerns. More than 100,000 people face criminal investigation and over 50,000 remain in pre-trial detention, including human rights defenders, academics, journalists, lawyers, politicians and former public officials who are accused of supporting the attempted coup. Public discourse remains hostile towards human rights defenders, in particular towards those who are promoting and defending the rights of the Kurdish community.

As in other regions, lawyers who raised concerns over the treatment of their clients or their fellow lawyers were themselves targeted. In Azerbaijan, Irada Javadova was one of number of lawyers to be disbarred in such a fashion. In occupied Crimea, Emil Kurbedinov, winner of the 2017 Front Line Defenders Award, was sentenced to administrative detention in December in retaliation for his defence of political prisoners. In the Russian Federation, Irina Biryukova received threats following her publication of information regarding reports of torture in a prison colony in Yaroslavl. Many lawyers and activists providing legal defence to those arrested during mass actions were themselves subsequently arrested or physically attacked all over Russia. Women lawyers and other WHRDs providing vital legal support to survivors of domestic and sexual violence were targeted by both the state and the public. Given the growing culture of impunity regarding violence against women

CASE STUDY

MULTIFACETED ATTACK ON MEMORIAL IN RUSSIA

In Russia, one of the most prominent human rights groups, International Historical and Human Rights Society (IHRS) “Memorial” and its member Human Rights Center (HRC) “Memorial”, were targeted in well orchestrated campaigns throughout the year. These campaigns used a number of different methods to target the organisation, including defamation, criminalisation and physical attacks. The head of the Chechen office of HRC “Memorial”, Oyub Titiev, was arrested on fabricated charges of “drug possession” in an attempt to destroy his reputation and to paralyse the work of the organisation in Chechnya. In a report in December under the Moscow Mechanism on human rights violations in Chechnya, the OSCE’s rapporteur stated that “it appears very likely that evidence has been fabricated in order to stop [Titiev] from monitoring disappearances and torture in the country...and also to give a signal to other human rights defenders what could happen to them”.²²

In December 2017, two weeks before Titiev’s arrest, and just after Instagram had blocked the account of the Head of the Chechen Republic, Magomed Daudov (Chechen ruler Ramzan Kadyrov’s closest associate and the speaker of Chechnya’s Parliament) publicly stated that the Instagram blocking was due to complaints by HRDs. Daudov described HRDs as “enemies” who must be “separated from the normal society.” Titiev’s arrest was a message to all defenders, as Kadyrov himself stated shortly after the arrest: “they [human rights defenders] must know: they will not work in our region.” Since then, Kadyrov has repeatedly called Titiev a “drug addict” and a “traitor”, both in television broadcasts and on social media.

Immediately after Titiev’s arrest, the office of HRC “Memorial” in neighbouring Ingushetia was set on fire. Sirazhutdin Datsiyev, head of the Dagestan office was physically attacked and a car that the organisation’s lawyer was using to travel to Chechnya, was also set on fire.

In June, police evacuated the premises of a theatre in Moscow where they believed that a play on Oyub Titiev was being performed. When it quickly became clear that the play was not being produced at that location, they went on to evacuate the office of Memorial in Moscow, where it was being produced, on the pretext that the building was mined.

In April, the Petrozavodsk city court acquitted a 62-year old historian and head of IHRS “Memorial” in Karelia, Yuri Dmitriev, on child pornography charges, but in June this judgement was overruled by the regional Supreme Court. This case was seized upon by state-owned media to lead an all-encompassing smear campaign against the human rights group. Finally, the Saint Petersburg branch of the organisation lost its office after twenty years’ successful rent from the city council and will have to find another location for its huge collection of archives. Despite these coordinated attacks, it is a tribute to the courage and persistence of Memorial staff and volunteers that they continue to engage in their crucially important human rights work at this time when it is needed most.

and girls in Russia, WHRDs working on gender-based violence have faced more and more challenges. A lack of financial resources, socio-cultural perceptions of domestic violence as a 'family matter' and a general backlash against civil society all contributed towards an extremely difficult working environment.

Independent trade unions came under attack in countries where economic conditions continued to deteriorate. In Kazakhstan, the Confederation of Independent Trade Unions of Kazakhstan was denied permission to register three times in the year. In November, Dmitry Senyavsky, head of the Karaganda branch of a trade union representing the rights of miners, was physically attacked in what is believed to have been an attempt to prevent him from attending a meeting with the International Trade Union Confederation (ITUC) and the representatives of the Arthur Svensson International Prize for Trade Union Rights. In Turkey trade unionists were labelled as provocateurs attempting to sabotage economic development. In November, prison sentences were handed down to 35 workers and trade unionists, including HRDs, from Birleşik Metal-İş for violating the Law on Assemblies and Demonstrations during a protest that took place back in 2016 in Renault's Turkish plant Oyak, in Bursa. In Belarus, the Chairman and Chief Accountant of the Belarusian Independent Trade Union of Radio and Electronic Industry Workers, were found guilty of tax evasion. They were accused of not declaring foreign funding which had been transferred to the Trade Union's SEB bank account in Vilnius, Lithuania, which was allegedly withdrawn and transported back to Belarus between 2011 and 2012. Legislation restricting the normal operation of civil society organisations remains in force in Belarus, forcing many organisations to register abroad, including maintaining bank accounts outside the country.

LGBTI+ rights defenders continued to be targeted in Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kyrgyzstan, Poland, Russia and Ukraine. In Ukraine, LGBTI+ activists were physically attacked throughout the year in different regions and their events routinely disturbed by nationalist groups. In March, more than 50 far-right agitators attacked a discussion on gender equality that was part of the program of the annual Ukrainian human rights festival, DocuDays, in Kiev. The attackers destroyed posters promoting tolerance and diversity, threatened to kill the participants and stated that they would use weapons during their next attack. As in other instances throughout the year, police failed to apprehend the attackers. Trans people also faced physical attacks and discrimination in Armenia, Turkey, Kyrgyzstan and Russia. In October, the opening ceremony of an LGBTI+ film festival, Side by Side, in Saint Petersburg was disrupted by a member of Parliament known for his stand on "traditional values". He phoned the police to claim that hostages had been taken inside the festival venue which led police to evacuate the premises.

DEFENDERS OF MIGRANT RIGHTS UNDER INCREASING PRESSURE IN EUROPE

Defenders of immigrants and asylum seekers were targeted in many European countries. Actions of solidarity with migrants have led to arrests, judicial harassment, intimidation, threats and smear campaigns, creating a climate of hostility and hate towards NGOs and volunteers. In Hungary, as a part of the 'Stop Soros' legislation, the Criminal Code was amended to introduce "facilitating illegal immigration" as a crime with a maximum punishment of one year of imprisonment. The government also plans to introduce a 25% special tax on aid groups which allegedly support migration. Italy, Czech Republic, Slovakia and Romania maintained an official anti-immigrant stance at odds with EU policy.

The Italian Prime Minister openly accused civil society organisations saving lives in the Mediterranean Sea of being complicit with people smugglers. The stigmatisation of migrants rights defenders and smear campaigns against them also led directly to physical attacks. In October, Génération Identitaire, a right wing anti-immigration movement, attacked the headquarters of SOS Méditerranée in Marseille. Members blocked access to the premises, erected a banner with "SOS Mediterranean complicit in human trafficking" emblazoned on it and refused to allow staff to leave for several hours before police arrived to evacuate the premises. In December, the organisation, along with Doctors Without Borders, was forced stop its joint search and rescue operations of the ship *Aquarius* in the Mediterranean due to "dishonest smearing and obstructive campaigns".

HRDs assisting migrants were criminalised in France, Greece, Italy and Spain. In Greece, Sean Binder and Sarah Mardini, two foreign volunteers, and Greek national Nassos Karakitsos, were arrested and held in pre-trial detention for over three months on people smuggling charges. The three had taken part in the search and rescue missions of a Greek non-profit humanitarian organisation Emergency Response Centre International. In a respite from France in July, the French Constitutional Council confirmed 'fraternity' as a core constitutional principle, stating that "helping others, even illegitimately present on the national territory, is legitimate". This ruling arose in response to the criminal case against Cedric Herrou, a French farmer who had received a one-year suspended sentence for giving shelter to migrants who were crossing the mountainous border from Italy into France. He was charged with aiding "the unlawful entry, movement, or stay of a foreigner in France". It is hoped this ruling will be referenced in other jurisdictions where similar attempts to provide humanitarian assistance have been criminalised.

Middle East and North Africa

HRDS IN MENA CONTINUED TO FACE SYSTEMATIC PERSECUTION, reprisals and threats as most governments in the region showed little tolerance for human rights activism and permitted little independent space for civil society. HRDs in the region remain under persistent assault, facing authoritarian regimes, unaccountable security apparatuses and draconian laws, as well as complex domestic and international conflicts in Libya, Syria, Iraq, Sudan, Yemen, Palestine and Western Sahara.

The increasing hostility towards the human rights agenda at a global level has encouraged authoritarian regimes in MENA to escalate their clampdown on HRDs. Meanwhile, Western governments remained keen to develop economic, military and security ties with governments responsible for the worst abuses against HRDs, including Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Bahrain and the United Arab Emirates. Egypt, despite its ever worsening climate for civil society, is the third largest recipient of global arms exports, after India and Saudi Arabia.²³ The European Commission is also considering releasing its budget support to Egypt under the revised Europe Neighbourhood Policy, which was put on hold following the military coup in 2013.

HRDs working in the many conflict zones throughout the region have faced severe threats to their lives and reprisals from formal military forces and non-state armed groups. Journalists, lawyers and other defenders, including women's rights activists, were killed in Libya, Iraq, Syria, Yemen and the Occupied Palestinian Territory (OPT). During protests in June by Palestinians in Gaza, Israeli snipers shot dead WHRD Razan Al-Najjar, a Palestinian volunteer medic affiliated with the Palestinian Medical Relief Society, as she was aiding another injured protester. Independent documentation of abuses in war zones was extremely difficult, and those who did attempt it were specifically targeted. In Yemen, field observers and leaders of the Mwatana Organisation for Human Rights were repeatedly detained, threatened and put under travel bans by Houthi authorities or the government of President Abdrabbuh Mansur Hadi. In Libya, Military Intelligence affiliated with the Libyan National Army (LNA) and armed militias in Misrata repeatedly threatened, detained and intimidated bloggers and WHRDs as a result of their attempts to monitor human rights violations.

CASE STUDY

TREATMENT OF HRDS IN SAUDI ARABIA SHOWS NO SIGNS OF IMPROVEMENT

The Saudi government's attitude toward human rights defence was exemplified by the detention and ill-treatment of a number of WHRDs during the year. Loujain al-Hathloul, Eman al-Nafjan and Aziza al-Yousef are among the detained and the three WHRDs had played an active role in the campaign demanding the right to drive before the ban was lifted in June. Following the detentions, state-owned media engaged in a smear campaign against the defenders, accusing them of 'treason', 'conspiracy against the Kingdom and the royal family' and 'collaboration with foreign actors'. While in detention, the defenders were subjected to ill-treatment, including sexual harassment, electric shocks, flogging and hanging.²⁴ The treatment was such that one of the WHRDs attempted suicide a number of times.²⁵ The detained women were at the forefront of the campaign to abolish the guardianship system (#IamMyOwnGuardian) and the right to drive. Loujain al-Hathloul had been previously arrested and detained for her activism after driving on her own in the UAE to the Saudi border.

The trial of HRD Essam Koshak is another example of the use of repressive laws and counter-terrorism courts to persecute HRDs in Saudi Arabia. Essam Koshak is a computer engineer and a prominent human rights defender working on civil and political rights. He is active on Twitter and has been particularly vocal in recent years on issues of corruption, freedom of expression and women's rights. He had been summoned in January 2017 by the Criminal Investigation Department in Mecca and interrogated, then kept in detention and transferred to trial before the Specialised Criminal Court in Riyadh in October 2017 on charges of 'inciting public opinion' and 'illegally supporting an end to the women's guardianship system'. He did not have access to his family or lawyer while awaiting his trial and in February 2018, the Court sentenced him to four years in prison followed by a four-year travel ban.

Many HRDs have been targeted in recent years in Saudi Arabia by the Specialised Criminal Court (SCC), which was established in January 2009 to examine cases involving terrorism and crimes related to state security. An analysis of the law establishing this court, as well as an investigation of its cases, shows that its procedures abuse the basic principles of the rights to fair trial and due process, as well as the right to be informed of charges and the right to legal council. Israa al-Ghomgham, who, along with her husband, was arrested in 2015 for her role in protests in Qatif province, was sentenced to death by beheading. According to the Gulf Center for Human Rights, she was absent from the third hearing of her case in front of the SCC in November. This has given rise to serious concerns for her well-being while in detention, especially in light of recent reports concerning torture.

Judicial harassment of HRDs was prevalent throughout the region. Defenders were slapped with charges directly linked to their human rights activism; many related to freedom of association, including receiving foreign funds or working without registration, peaceful protest and media expression, including online. The use of cybercrime laws to charge, interrogate and detain HRDs was observed in Lebanon, Algeria, Bahrain, Iran, UAE and Saudi Arabia. In May, award-winning defender Ahmed Mansour was sentenced to 10 years in prison in the UAE for social media posts criticising violations carried out by the Emirati government. His final appeal, without a lawyer of his own choosing, was heard on 24 December, a date specifically chosen to ensure reduced international attention of his case. The appeal was rejected. Governments in Egypt and Morocco also used unfounded politically motivated charges of violence or terrorism to punish HRDs for their work.

HRDs were subjected to prolonged detention in Egypt, Saudi Arabia and Sudan. Once detained, incommunicado detention was commonly and repeatedly used and in many cases, the whereabouts of detained HRDs remained unknown for weeks. During this time, ill-treatment and torture were common as enormous pressure was placed on defenders to confess and identify other HRDs active in their circles. Cases of enforced disappearance of HRDs were also witnessed in Egypt and Saudi Arabia. Saudi blogger Turki Abdul Aziz Al-Jasser, who administered the Twitter account '@Kashkool', which exposed human rights violations in the Kingdom, was forcibly disappeared after his arrest in March. Reports carried in some media outlets in November suggested that Al-Jasser had been tortured to death in prison.²⁶

Israeli human rights organisations including the anti-occupation group Breaking the Silence, composed of former soldiers, and B'Tselem continued to face judicial harassment and stigmatisation. In July, the Knesset passed legislation granting the Ministry of Education the authority to ban organisations deemed to be acting against the Israel Defense Forces from entering schools. Moreover, Israeli authorities engaged in local and global campaigns accusing members and supporters of the Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions (BDS) movement of anti-Semitism. HRDs in the OPT continued to face violence, harassment, restrictions on freedom of movement, administrative detention and military trials from both the Israeli forces and the Palestinian Authority.

As the human rights situation across the region deteriorated, HRDs who were forced to flee their home countries also faced risks in their 'new' countries. Due to visa restrictions, at-risk defenders faced limited options on where they could go and were often forced to relocate to states whose governments have close security ties with their home countries. Sudanese activists in Egypt and Saudi Arabia were subjected to intimidation, detention and in some cases deportation to Sudan. Sudanese blogger Mohamed Hasan Alim was forcibly disappeared in Egypt in October 2018 and taken to Sudan, where he is being held incommunicado by the Sudanese National Intelligence and Security Service. Mohamed Hasan Alim exposed corruption and human rights violations via social media, and in 2017, applied for asylum with UNHCR in Egypt after fleeing Sudan for his own safety. He was detained by Egyptian police on 10 October and the following day his mother was informed that he had been rendered back to Sudan. The Sudanese State Security Prosecution pressed charges against the HRD that carry the death penalty. Government authorities intimidated and interrogated refugees and migrants rights defenders in Algeria and Lebanon. Also in Lebanon, many Syrian and Palestinian HRDs who work on the rights of refugees were summoned for questioning, while Lebanese authorities refused to renew some of their legal residences, leaving Syrians at risk of forced return to their war-torn home. In Algeria, journalist and member of the Algerian League for Human Rights, Said Boudour, was briefly detained, interrogated and threatened in June for his reporting on the arbitrary detention, ill-treatment and deportation of migrants and asylum seekers.

FRONT LINE DEFENDERS REPORT ON LABOUR RIGHTS DEFENDERS IN EGYPT

In January 2019 Front Line Defenders launched a report on threats and attacks against labour rights defenders in Egypt. Drawing on interviews with defenders from four regions, the report documents that the regime of President Abdel Fattah al-Sisi has punished labour rights defenders with arrests, disappearances, beatings and torture in detention, intimidation by state security agents, mass firings, withholding of salaries and benefits, and trials in military courts.

The report finds that as the Ministry of Defence purchases more land, factories, hospitals, hotels, and public institutions, labour rights defenders occupy an extremely dangerous place in Egypt's increasingly militarised economy. Organising in any company or sector owned or operated by the military puts civilian HRDs at increased and direct risk of military prosecution. The report features an investigation into the ongoing military trial of 26 civilian workers and HRDs at Alexandria Shipyard, where majority French state-owned company Naval Group is engaged in contracts worth more than €3 billion with the Egyptian Ministry of Defence.

In Egypt, online activist and member of the Egyptian Commission for Rights and Freedoms, Amal Fathy, was given a two-year suspended sentence and a fine for posting a Facebook video highlighting the issue of sexual harassment. She was detained in May and although the Court suspended her sentence until the hearing of her appeal, her detention continued until December as a result of another case in which she is charged with 'collaboration with hostile groups including membership in the April 6 Youth Movement and the Muslim Brotherhood, alleged to undermine the latest Presidential elections and trigger social unrest'. Amal Fathy was released on bail on 27 December but her appeal in the case relating to her Facebook posts was rejected on 30 December and she is at risk of being arrested again at any time to serve the two-year sentence.

LGBTI+ rights defenders in Morocco, Lebanon and Tunisia were denied registration of their associations or had their public activities banned. Their leaders were intimidated and security forces failed to protect them from public physical assaults when their identities became known. Elsewhere in the region, the space for LGBTI+ defenders to work was simply non-existent.

REPRESSION OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC RIGHTS DEFENDERS IN MENA

The increasing social impact of austerity measures, the spread of poverty and environmental problems as well as the lack of public services, especially in remote areas and among marginalised communities, have been a source of continuing unrest and spontaneous protests in MENA which is likely to continue growing in the years ahead. Governments in Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Sudan, Jordan, Egypt and Iran used a variety of tactics to repress or limit growing social and economic demands, including environmental activism. Leaders and members of these protest movements, especially youth, trade unionists, labour rights defenders and their supporters - including their lawyers - are among the HRDs most at risk of detention, physical violence, surveillance, fabricated charges and unfair trials. The use of restrictive assembly laws and trade union laws has been paramount in criminalising the work of those activists.

In June, Nasser Zefzafi, a leading figure of the popular protest movement in the Rif region in northern Morocco, which witnessed protests between October 2016 and June 2017 over social and economic marginalisation, was sentenced to 20 years in prison on charges of 'undermining public order' and 'threatening national unity'. Five other social and economic rights defenders were sentenced to between 15 and 20 years following trials in the marginalised city of Jerada for their leading role in a movement demanding social justice and economic opportunities for the inhabitants of the region. For years, Moroccan authorities tolerated illegal and dangerous mining activities and disregarded long-standing complaints from the population regarding marginalisation, poverty and lack of infrastructure and basic services. In Algeria, a rise in inflation, cuts in food and fuel subsidies and a rising unemployment rate prompted labour protests and strikes, which were violently repressed by security forces.

Sudanese authorities arrested and detained dozens of HRDs, including Salih Mahmoud Osman, vice president of the Darfur Bar Association, in the context of protests against the rise in the cost of food after the government made a decision to cut subsidies in January. On 10 April, Sudanese authorities released the detained HRDs following a Presidential pardon. In December, renewed protests over rising prices were met with a brutal police reaction, resulting in a number of deaths. Violence was also deployed in Iraq, where several protesters and HRDs were killed in Basra by security forces following the eruption of protests in the city. Long-held frustrations over corruption, poor infrastructure, contaminated water and a rise in unemployment led to the protests. WHRD Su'ad Al-Ali, who had helped organise the demonstrations, was shot dead in a targeted attack in September as she got into her car. In Iran, students from different universities in Tehran and Tabriz received harsh sentences in August based on charges stemming from their participation in protests against the privatisation of education and economic corruption affecting students.

Endnotes

1. Given under-reporting and the difficulties of data collection in certain areas, the total number of HRDs killed is certainly higher than the 321 named in this report. Front Line Defenders would like to thank of all of the organisations who shared their data, including members of the Memorial project: Karapatan, Aci-Participa, UDEFEGUA, Programa Somos Defensores, Comité Cerezo, Amnesty International, FIDH, OMCT, Forum Asia and Global Witness.
2. It was not possible at the time of print to obtain full statistics regarding the number of HRDs killed in Brazil; the figures used in this report are based on a partial data-set. That said, 2018 did see a reduction in the number of defenders killed compared with 2017, when there were 65 reported killings.
3. “Declaration on the Right and Responsibility of Individuals, Groups and Organs of Society to Promote and Protect Universally Recognized Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms”
4. This figure is based on the number of violations reported to Front Line Defenders from 1 January to 18 December, excluding killings. For information on killings, please see chart on page 4. These statistics only relate to cases publicly taken up by Front Line Defenders. They do not include violations where the HRD did not want public advocacy on their case and as such, many violations, especially in relation to sexual violence, are under represented.
5. At the time of going to print, the number of killings which took place in Colombia (126), the deadliest country in the world for HRDs, were only complete to the end of November.
6. <https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/>
7. According to the National Geographic, “Ninety-five percent of all deforestation is within 3.4 miles of a road or 0.6 miles of a navigable river.” <https://www.nationalgeographic.com/climate-change/explore-amazonia/#/Map/AlteredLandscapes/?focus=Transport>
8. <https://www.concourt.org.za/index.php/judgement/291-mlungwana-and-others-v-s-and-another-equal-education-right2know-campaign-and-un-special-rapporteur-on-the-rights-to-freedom-of-peaceful-assembly-and-of-association-as-amici-curiae>
9. <https://www.groundup.org.za/article/landmark-court-ruling-protests-victory-citizens/>
10. http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2018-08/28/c_137423406.htm
11. <https://citizenlab.ca/2018/09/hidden-and-track-nso-groups-pegasus-spyware-to-operations-in-45-countries/>
12. <https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2018/10/05/national/tokyo-adopts-ordinance-banning-discrimination-lgbt-community/>
13. <https://hrdworldsummit.org/action-plan/>
14. <http://www.ipsnews.net/2018/07/peace-equal-political-participation-women-drc/>
15. <https://www.frontlinedefenders.org/en/statement-report/creeping-towards-authoritarianism>
16. “Comisariado Ejidal de San Pedro Tlanixco”, “Comité de Agua Potable de San Pedro Tlanixco” and the “Comisión para la Defensa del Agua”
17. Front Line Defenders has reported on many such cases over the past number years in the states of Guerrero, Puebla, Oaxaca, Estado de Mexico and others. Damián Gallardo, Enrique Guerrero and Pablo López Alavéz - who remain in prison - as well as Librado Baños, Juan Carlos Flores Solís, Marco Antonio Suastegui, Nestora Salgado, Bettina Cruz are only a small number of examples.
18. <https://www.pri.org/stories/2018-10-26/will-fake-news-carry-brazils-favored-far-right-candidate-victory>
19. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/feb/13/philippines-rodriigo-duterte-orders-soldiers-to-shoot-female-rebels-in-the-vagina>
20. http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2018-10/16/c_137535821.htm
21. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-turkey-security-soros/soros-foundation-to-close-in-turkey-after-attack-by-erdogan-idUSKCN1NV1KL>
22. <https://www.osce.org/odihr/407402?download=true>
23. https://www.sipri.org/sites/default/files/2018-03/fssipri_at2017_0.pdf
24. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/latest/news/2018/11/saudi-arabia-reports-of-torture-and-sexual-harassment-of-detained-activists/>
25. Ibid.
26. <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-6362321/Another-journalist-Saudi-Arabia-killed-torture-custody.html>

SET THEM FREE

TO MARK THE 70TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE UNITED NATIONS DECLARATION ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE 20TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE UN DECLARATION ON HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENDERS, FRONT LINE DEFENDERS LAUNCHED A CAMPAIGN TO CALL FOR THE RELEASE OF NINE HRDS SERVING LENGTHY PRISON SENTENCES.



AZIMJAN ASKAROV, KYRGYZSTAN

Sentenced to life imprisonment after an unfair trial for documenting interethnic violence



ILHAM TOHTI, CHINA

Sentenced to life imprisonment for defending the political and cultural rights of the Uyghur people



ABDULHADI AL-KHAWAJA, BAHRAIN

Sentenced to life imprisonment for demanding democracy and human rights in Bahrain



DAWIT ISAAK, ERITREA

Held for 16 years without charge in a secret prison for publishing open letters calling for reform



NARGES MOHAMMADI, IRAN

Sentenced to 17 years in prison for campaigning for peace, human rights and the abolition of the death penalty



ATENA DAEMI, IRAN

Sentenced to 14 years in prison (reduced to seven on appeal) after a trial lasting 15 minutes for campaigning against the death penalty and supporting the families of political prisoners



GERMAIN RUKUKI, BURUNDI

Sentenced to 32 years in prison for campaigning for an end to torture and the death penalty



DOMINGA GONZÁLEZ MARTÍNEZ, MEXICO

Sentenced to 50 years in prison for defending the right of her people to water



TRAN THI NGA, VIETNAM

Sentenced to 9 years in prison plus 5 years house arrest for defending the rights of migrant workers and women's rights

<https://www.frontlinedefenders.org/en/statement-report/set-them-free>

FRONT LINE DEFENDERS GLOBAL ANALYSIS 2018

DUBLIN

Front Line Defenders – Head Office
Second Floor, Grattan House
Temple Road, Blackrock, A94 FA39
Co. Dublin, Ireland

Tel: +353 1 212 37 50
Fax: +353 1 212 10 01
Email: info@frontlinedefenders.org

BRUSSELS

Front Line Defenders – EU Office
Square Marie-Louise 72
1000 Brussels
Belgium

Tel: +32 230 93 83
Fax: +32 230 00 28
Email: euoffice@frontlinedefenders.org

Follow Front Line Defenders on Facebook, Twitter, YouTube and Instagram

www.facebook.com/FrontLineDefenders
twitter.com/FrontLineHRD
www.youtube.com/FrontLineHRD
www.instagram.com/frontlinedefenders/



Front Line Defenders subscribes to the Dóchas Code of Conduct on Images and Messages.



WWW.FRONTLINEDEFENDERS.ORG



The ideas, opinions and comments in this publication are entirely the responsibility of Front Line Defenders and do not necessarily represent or reflect Irish Aid policy.



This document has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union. The contents of this document are the sole responsibility of Front Line Defenders and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union.



Responsibility for the content lies entirely with Front Line Defenders. The Government of Sweden does not necessarily share the expressed views and interpretations.

IRIS O'BRIEN
FOUNDATION