

# NPMs in Europe

Combining  
complaints handling  
and  
preventive visiting

Dr Silvia Casale

# OPCAT in Europe

in the countries of the Council of Europe

- 26 States Parties
- 20 NPMs in existence

# 20 European NPMs

New bodies designed as NPMs	2
Existing / adapted bodies	18 in total
- Ombudsman's Office	14
- Inspection / monitoring bodies	4

# Ombudsman as NPM

- Ombudsman Plus - 8
  - + specific preventive unit
  - + NGOs
  - + other institution
- Ombudsman alone - 6

# Prevention

Proactive

Focus on system

Reinforcing system  
safeguards

Looking forward

Co-operative

# Complaints

Reactive

Focus on individual

Resolving an  
individual case

Looking back

Adjudicative

## Complaints handling

- obligation to receive all complaints
- handling complaints not about ill-treatment
- investigating cases
- assessing questions of legality

## Preventive visiting

- focus on ill-treatment in places of detention
- examining empirically how systems function
- broad scope of observation and assessment

# Prevention via complaints

Adjudication of individual complaints

- Identifying patterns of complaints
  - Identifying systemic weaknesses
    - Recommending improvements
      - Following up implementation
        - Prevention of ill-treatment

# Using Complaint Patterns for Prevention of Ill-treatment

Patterns of complaints relating to ill-treatment

- problems of law, policy or practice ?
  - pockets of complaints – particular institutions ?
  - issues to address through legislative reform ?
  - questions to discuss with authorities ?
  - matters to focus on in visits ?
- Inclusion on visits planning and strategy



# Pros and Cons for NPM

- Ease of communications - preventive and complaints staff are the same
- Cross-fertilisation
  
- Competing for resources
- Making choices
  - which role has priority ?
  - to accept a complaint or not ?

# NPM staff perspective

Same staff carrying out both functions

- Question of identity ?
- Competing duties ?
- Conflicts of interest ?

Need to

- Switch between different approaches
- Combine skills necessary for each role

# Detainees' perceptions

- expectations ? mixed messages ?
- understanding of alternating roles ?  
Which role are you in today?
- to complain or not to complain ?  
Whose side are you on?

# Perceptions of custodial staff

- expectations
- understanding of alternating roles
- staff subject to an adverse finding and obliged to co-operate with the NPM

Possible effects -

on staff willingness to engage ?

on NPM readiness to criticise ?

# The developing NPM

An-going process

Initial concepts and resource constraints

- building the NPM role
  - gaining recognition of the NPM role
  - ring-fencing NPM resources

**Distinguishing the NPM**

# Consensus / Conflict

The complaints system  
can make a valuable contribution  
to the system of prevention,  
  
without necessarily combining roles.